Title: Incretin-based therapies for patients with type 1 diabetes: A meta-analysis

Authors: Lili Liu $^{1,2}$, Zhuo Shao$^3$, Ying Xia $^{1,2}$, Jiabi Qin $^4$, Yang Xiao $^{1,2*}$, Zhiguang Zhou $^{1,2*}$, Zubing Mei $^5$

Affiliations:

1 Department of Metabolism & Endocrinology, The Second Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan, 410011, China;

2 Key Laboratory of Diabetes Immunology (Central South University), Ministry of Education; National Clinical Research Center for Metabolic Diseases, Changsha, Hunan, 410011, China;

3 Department of General Surgery, Changhai Hospital, The Second Military Medical University, Shanghai, 168 Changhai Road, Shanghai 200433, China;

4 Department of Epidemiology & Health Statistics, Xiangya School of Public Health, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan, 410078, China;

5 Department of Anorectal Surgery, Shuguang Hospital, Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, 528 Zhangheng Road, Shanghai 201203, China

*Correspondence author: Zhiguang Zhou, Department of Metabolism & Endocrinology, The Second Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan, 410011, China. E-mail address: zhouzhiguang@csu.edu.cn; Phone: (86)731-85292154; Fax: (86)731-85367220;

*Correspondence authors: Yang Xiao, Department of Metabolism & Endocrinology, The Second Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan, 410011,
Short Title: Incretin-based therapies in T1DM

Keywords:

incretin-based therapy, DPP-4 inhibitor, GLP-1 agonist, type 1 diabetes

Word count: 4134 words
Abstract

Objective: Combined treatment with an incretin-based drug, such as a glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonist (GLP-1 RA) or a dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitor, and basal insulin is a new strategy for improving glucose control in type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM). We performed a meta-analysis to assess the effect of this combined treatment on glycaemic control, insulin dose, severe hypoglycaemia, weight gain, and gastrointestinal side effects in T1DM patients.

Methods: We searched PubMed, EMBASE and the Cochrane Library for relevant studies published before July 16, 2018. The primary outcome was glycosylated haemoglobin (HbA1c). Secondary outcomes included total daily insulin dose, body weight, severe hypoglycaemia and gastrointestinal side effects.

Results: Nine randomized controlled trials (RCTs) involving 2389 patients were ultimately included in the meta-analysis. The pooled data suggested that incretin-based therapy was associated with a reduction in HbA1c levels [weighted mean difference (WMD) -0.17%, 95% confidence interval (CI) -0.24 to -0.11, \(P<0.001\)], total daily insulin dose (WMD -5.53 IU/day, 95% CI -8.89 to -2.17, \(P=0.001\)) and body weight (WMD -3.24 kg, 95% CI -4.43 to -2.04, \(P<0.001\)). Incretins did not increase the risk of severe hypoglycaemia [odds ratio (OR) 0.83, 95% CI 0.60 to 1.16, \(P=0.287\)] but increased the occurrence of gastrointestinal side effects (OR 3.46, 95% CI 2.20 to 5.45 \(P<0.001\)).

Conclusions: In T1DM patients, GLP-1 RAs, but not DPP-4 inhibitors, combined with insulin appear to be an effective therapy but may increase the occurrence of
gastrointestinal side effects.
Introduction

Type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM), which is caused by the selective destruction of the insulin-producing \( \beta \) cells located in the pancreatic islets of Langerhans, is one of the most common and debilitating autoimmune diseases (1). Usually, treatment with multiple daily injections (MDI) of prandial rapid-acting insulin and basal long-acting insulin or continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion (CSII) is recommended for patients with T1DM to obtain satisfactory glycaemic control (2). According to the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group, intensive insulin therapy can effectively delay the onset and slow the progression of chronic diabetic microvascular complications, such as diabetic retinopathy, nephropathy, and neuropathy (3). However, adverse events, such as severe hypoglycaemia and weight gain, are also strongly associated with long-term administration of insulin (4). To overcome this shortcoming, the development of new therapy agents is urgently needed.

Incretin-based drugs, including glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonists (GLP-1 RAs) and dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP-4) inhibitors, may offer an opportunity to avoid these side effects. Theoretically, GLP-1 RAs are structurally and functionally similar to GLP-1 and can mimic the effects of GLP-1, such as augmenting glucose-dependent insulin secretion, suppressing glucagon secretion, delaying gastric emptying (5-8) and finally enhancing blood glucose management with weight loss (9). DPP-4 inhibitors inhibit the degradation of endogenous GLP-1 and GIP, resulting in reduced glucose. DPP-4 inhibitors are multitarget drugs (10) and can modulate immune function in
autoimmune diabetes (11). GLP-1 RAs can provide robust glucose-control capability in type 2 diabetes (12). These drugs were both approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and have been widely used in patients with type 2 diabetes (13,14) but not in those with type 1 diabetes. Liraglutide and sitagliptin are representative GLP-1 RAs and DPP-4 inhibitors, respectively.

Recently, several studies showed that adding liraglutide to insulin treatment in subjects with type 1 diabetes reduced glycosylated haemoglobin (HbA1c) and body weight, but these benefits were accompanied by an increased risk of hypoglycaemia (15,16). However, some other studies reported opposite results. The Gejgaard group found that liraglutide could not significantly lower HbA1c and body weight, despite the marked reduction in hypoglycaemic events (17). Kuhadiya conducted a study in 2016, and the results showed that different doses of liraglutide exerted different effects on HbA1c and body weight but had no significant difference in percent time spent in hypoglycaemia (18). Although sitagliptin increased postmeal GLP-1 levels, it did not change HbA1c, body weight or the rate of hypoglycaemia progression (19). Due to the conflicting results, we collected all the available data and conducted a meta-analysis to robustly evaluate the influence of incretin-based therapy added to insulin vs. placebo or no drug added to insulin in subjects with type 1 diabetes on the key outcomes HbA1c, total insulin dose, body weight, severe hypoglycaemia and gastrointestinal side effects.

Methods
Protocol and guidance

An elaborate protocol of literature-search strategies, inclusion and exclusion criteria, study selection, data extraction, outcome measurements and methods of statistical analysis was prepared with prioritization according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis (PRISMA) (20).

Literature-search strategy

Relevant studies published before July 16, 2018 were selected from PubMed, EMBASE and the Cochrane Library. There was no restriction in searching language, publication year or region. Systematically searching for likely eligible studies, we used MeSH terms and their combinations: (type 1 diabetes mellitus) AND (dipeptidyl peptidase 4 or glucagon-like peptide 1). The complete database search strategies are shown in appendix 1. In addition, we searched ClinicalTrials.gov to obtain more details and explicit information about the relevant clinical trials.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria of this meta-analysis were as follows. First, the studies were randomized controlled trials (RCTs). Second, they adopted a parallel design of GLP-1 RAs or DPP-4 inhibitors and insulin vs. placebo treatment (or single insulin therapy). Third, the subjects of the studies were restricted to patients with type 1 diabetes, including those with latent autoimmune diabetes in adults (LADA), as LADA is a form of adult-onset autoimmune diabetes or a subtype of T1DM (21). Finally, the studies reported at least one of the following results: HbA1c change (from baseline to endpoint), total insulin dose, weight loss or hypoglycaemia.
The exclusion criteria included 1) editorials, review articles, case reports, letters to the editor, and other non-RCTs, 2) duplications of the searched studies, and 3) other studies that did not meet the above inclusion criteria.

**Data extraction and outcomes of interest**

After skimming through titles/abstracts and full texts, data from the included publications were extracted independently by two of the well-trained authors (Liu L. and Xiao Y.). These authors also assessed the risk of bias of the eligible studies with detailed instructions. Any disagreements were resolved by discussion or the senior authors (Zhou Z., Xiao Y.).

From eligible RCTs, the following information was extracted: authors, publication year, ethnicity, design, GLP-1 RAs or DPP-4 inhibitor therapy, duration of interventions, sample size, mean age, mean HbA1c, body mass index (BMI), and duration of diabetes. In the case of multiple studies describing the same population, the most recent or complete study was selected.

The primary outcome was HbA1c, and the secondary outcomes were total daily insulin dose, body weight, the occurrence rate of severe hypoglycaemic events, gastrointestinal side effects from the baseline to the end of treatments and hyperglycaemia with ketosis.

**Statistical analysis and assessment of study quality and publication bias**

The pooled statistics of the trials were calculated and analysed with Review Manager version 5.3 (Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, UK) and Stata/SE 14.0 software (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA). The weighted mean difference (WMD) was
adopted to compare continuous variables (HbA1c, total daily insulin dose, body weight), and the odds ratio (OR) was used to compare dichotomous variables (events of severe hypoglycaemia and gastrointestinal side effects). The results were reported with WMD or OR with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for continuous and dichotomous variables, respectively. In terms of studies that presented data as the means and range values, the standard deviations (SDs) were calculated using the technique described by Wan X et al. (22).

To assess the risk of bias of the RCTs, two reviewers independently used a modified Cochrane risk of bias instrument (23). The risks were mainly associated with selection bias, performance bias, detection bias, attrition bias and reporting bias. The items included random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of the participants and researchers, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting, and other bias. Due to the small number of included studies, funnel plots and Egger’s tests were not performed to screen for potential publication bias (24).

Statistical heterogeneity between studies was evaluated using the chi-square test with significance set at $P \leq 0.10$, and heterogeneity was quantified by the $I^2$ statistic with an $I^2$ less than 50% indicating low heterogeneity (25). The fixed-effects model was adopted if there was no significant heterogeneity among studies; otherwise, the random-effects model was employed.

Due to different study designs, the types of therapy, drug dosages, duration of intervention and different BMI of enrolled participants might have different effects. Subgroup analysis was performed to explore the source of heterogeneity: 1) study
design (double-blind RCT vs. open-label RCT); 2) types of therapy (GLP-1 RAs or DPP-4 inhibitors); 3) treatment dose of liraglutide (1.8 mg, 1.2 mg or 0.6 mg); 4) duration of intervention (≤12 weeks or >12 weeks) and 5) mean baseline BMI (≥28 kg/m² or <28 kg/m²).

Results

Search results and characteristics of the included studies

We initially screened 2398 relevant publications from PubMed, EMBASE and the Cochrane Library. According to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, we finally selected nine RCTs (15-19,26-29) for the meta-analysis. The detailed process for study selection is shown in Fig 1. Six (66.7%) (15-18,28,29) RCTs tested GLP-1 RAs (liraglutide dose from 0.6 mg to 1.8 mg), two (22.2%) (19,27) RCTs tested DPP-4 inhibitors, and one (11.1%) (26) RCT investigated both agents.

The characteristics of the nine enrolled studies are shown in Table 1. The nine trials were all published between 2013 and 2017. Seven (77.8%) (15-19,28,29) double-blind RCTs were performed with Caucasians and two (22.2%) (26,27) open-label RCTs were performed with Asians. The duration of interventions ranged from 12 weeks to 52 weeks. The total number of individuals enrolled in each of the nine studies ranged from 17 to 1389. In 6 out of 9 studies, the men outnumbered the women, and the male proportion varied from 44% to 72%. The mean age for the included trials was between 28 and 48 years. The mean baseline HbA1c was from 6.5% to 9.7%. The mean baseline weight ranged from 57.3 to 93.7 kilograms, while...
the BMI ranged from 21.5 to 30.0 kg/m². The mean disease duration of patients in seven clinical trials studying long-standing T1DM ranged from 19.0 to 24.0 years. The average disease durations of patients with newly diagnosed T1DM and LADA were 0.1 and 1.4 years, respectively.

**Risk of bias in the enrolled studies**

The evaluated risk of bias for the eligible studies is shown in Fig 2. All studies used random sequence generation, seven were double-blind trials, and the others were open-label trials. Three studies did not mention any information about allocation concealment. Descriptions of the blinding of the outcome assessment were not provided in three trials. One trial had incomplete outcome data, and there was no selective reporting. Other biases were unclear.

**Primary outcomes of interest**

**HbA1c reduction in T1DM patients treated with incretin-based therapy and a subgroup analysis of HbA1c based on the study design (double-blind or open-label), drug type (GLP-1 RAs or DPP-4 inhibitors), liraglutide dose (1.8 mg, 1.2 mg, or 0.6 mg), duration of intervention (≤12 weeks or >12 weeks) and mean baseline BMI (≥28 kg/m² or <28 kg/m²)**

Changes in HbA1c from baseline to endpoint were reported in all nine studies (15-19,26-29). As shown in Fig 3, HbA1c decreased significantly in the incretin-based therapy group compared with the control group (WMD -0.17%, 95% CI -0.24 to -0.11), and the heterogeneity was low (I²=0%, P=0.53).

The nine included studies were categorized as double-blind studies (n=7) and
open-label studies (n=2). A significant reduction in HbA1c was observed in the
double-blind studies with a large sample size (WMD -0.18, 95% CI -0.24 to -0.11) but
not in the open-label studies (WMD 0, 95% CI -0.42 to 0.42).

Regarding data limited to a single type of incretin-based therapy, a significant
reduction in HbA1c was found in the GLP-1 RA group (WMD -0.2, 95% CI -0.26 to
-0.13, \( P<0.001 \)) with low heterogeneity \( (I^2=0\%, \quad P=0.85) \) but not in the DPP-4
inhibitor group (WMD 0.05, 95% CI -0.17 to 0.27, \( P=0.67 \)) with low heterogeneity
\( (I^2=0\% , \quad P=0.92 ) \) (Table 2).

Compared with controls, the incretin-based drug liraglutide reduced HbA1c in a
dose-dependent manner. Treatment with 1.8 mg liraglutide (WMD -0.24, 95% CI
-0.32 to -0.16, \( P<0.001 \)), 1.2 mg liraglutide (WMD -0.2, 95% CI -0.28 to -0.11,
\( P<0.001 \)) and 0.6 mg liraglutide (WMD -0.15, 95% CI -0.24 to -0.07, \( P<0.001 \))
significantly decreased HbA1c levels (test for subgroup difference: \( P=0.35 \)).
Heterogeneity was low with the chi-square test \( I^2<50\% \) and \( P>0.1 \) for all three
subgroups. (Table 2)

In exploratory analyses, the subgroup analysis based on the duration of the
intervention showed that in the group treated with the intervention for a duration less
than 12 week, there was no significant difference in the reduction in HbA1c after the
incretin-based treatment (WMD -0.13, 95% CI -0.39 to 0.13, \( P=0.31 \)). In the group
with the prolonged intervention for more than 12 weeks, HbA1c was significantly
improved after the incretin-based treatment (WMD -0.18, 95% CI -0.24 to -0.11,
\( P<0.001 \)) (Table 2).
In the subgroup with a mean baseline BMI $\geq 28$ kg/m$^2$, there was a significant decrease in the HbA1c levels after the incretin-based treatment (WMD $-0.20$, 95% CI $-0.27$ to $-0.13$, $P<0.001$). However, in the subgroup with a mean baseline BMI $< 28$ kg/m$^2$, there was no difference in the reduction in HbA1c after the incretin-based treatment (WMD $0.00$, 95% CI $-0.19$ to $0.19$, $P=0.97$) (Table 2).

Secondary outcomes of interest

**Reduction in total daily insulin dose in T1DM patients with incretin-based therapy and subgroup analysis based on incretin-based drug type (GLP-1 RAs or DPP-4 inhibitors)**

Five studies (17-19,26,27) reported changes in total daily insulin dose, two of which compared GLP-1 RAs with placebo (17,18). Another two trials compared DPP-4 inhibitors with placebo or insulin alone (19,27), and the remaining trial compared both GLP-1 RA and DPP-4 inhibitor with insulin (26). The meta-analysis showed that incretin-based therapy might reduce the total daily insulin dose with moderate statistical heterogeneity (WMD $-5.53$ IU/day, 95% CI $-8.89$ to $-2.17$, $P=0.001$, $I^2=51.4\%$). We performed subgroup analysis based on different types of incretin-based drugs, and the results showed that treatment with GLP-1 RAs significantly reduced the total daily usage of insulin (WMD $-9.28$, 95% CI $-15.89$ to $-2.68$, $P=0.006$, $I^2=46.5\%$). However, treatment with the DPP-4 inhibitor presented no significant difference (WMD $-2.58$, 95% CI $-5.59$ to $0.43$, $P=0.093$, $I^2=0.0\%$) (Fig 4).

**Body weight change in T1DM patients with incretin-based therapy and subgroup analysis based on mean baseline BMI ($\geq28$ kg/m$^2$ or $<28$ kg/m$^2$),**
incretin-based drug type (GLP-1 RAs or DPP-4 inhibitors) and liraglutide dose
(1.8 mg, 1.2 mg, 0.6 mg)

Eight studies (15-19,26,28,29) reported weight change from baseline to the end of the trial. **Fig 5** shows that treatments with incretin-based drugs added to insulin caused a significant reduction in body weight (WMD -3.24 kg, 95% CI -4.43 to -2.04 $P<0.001$), with high heterogeneity among studies ($I^2=85.4\%$, $P<0.001$).

Subgroup analysis showed that there was no significant body weight decrease in the subgroup with a mean baseline BMI<28 kg/m$^2$ (WMD -2.36, 95% CI -5.76 to 1.03 $P=0.17$), while body weight decreased remarkably in the other subgroup (BMI$\geq$28 kg/m$^2$) (WMD -3.81, 95% CI -4.59 to -3.03 $P<0.001$) comparison with the placebo control group; therapy with GLP-1 RAs (WMD -3.86, 95% CI -4.42 to -3.30, $P<0.001$) but not DPP-4 inhibitors (WMD 0.05, 95% CI -1.11 to 1.21, $P=0.93$) presented the opposite result (test for subgroup difference: $P=0.41$). Body weight was significantly reduced with treatment with 1.8 mg liraglutide (WMD -4.88, 95% CI -5.35 to -4.41 $P<0.001$), 1.2 mg liraglutide (WMD -3.82, 95% CI -4.26 to -3.39 $P<0.001$), and 0.6 mg liraglutide (WMD -2.21, 95% CI -2.62 to -1.79 $P<0.001$). Furthermore, the heterogeneity among groups was significant (test for subgroup difference: $P<0.001$) (**Table 2**).

**Hypoglycaemia**

Severe hypoglycaemia was explicitly reported in five studies (15,16,19,27,28). The pooled data did not reveal a higher risk for severe hypoglycaemia upon treatment with incretin-based drugs (OR 0.80, 95% CI 0.57 to 1.14 $P=0.219$). The heterogeneity was
However, due to inconsistent definitions and reporting of hypoglycaemia, a meta-analysis was not performed for symptomatic hypoglycaemia. Some trials define hypoglycaemia as plasma glucose (PG)<3.1 mmol/L or <3.9 mmol/L according to different standards. Some reported hours of hypoglycaemia per day, some reported number of patients with hypoglycaemia, and some recorded hypoglycaemia episodes per patient per day.

**Gastrointestinal side effects**

Nausea was reported in six of nine studies (15-18,26,28), of which diarrhoea was observed in three studies (15,17,28) and vomiting was observed in four studies (15,17,18,28). As shown in Fig 7, in contrast to the control, incretin-based therapy significantly increased the gastrointestinal side effects (OR 3.46, 95% CI 2.20 to 5.45 $P<0.001$) with a high heterogeneity of 62.0%. Subgroup analysis showed that the rate of nausea (OR 4.67, 95% CI 3.58 to 6.10 $P<0.001$) and vomiting (OR 2.80, 95% CI 1.15 to 6.82 $P=0.024$) but not the rate of diarrhoea (OR 1.46, 95% CI 0.20 to 10.93 $P=0.710$) significantly increased in the incretin-based treated group.

**Hyperglycaemia with ketosis**

Two enrolled studies (15,16) reported hyperglycaemia with ketosis, and both studies used liraglutide as an intervention. We pooled the data and performed a meta-analysis. The results (Fig 8) showed that liraglutide did increase the risk of hyperglycaemia with ketosis (OR 1.62, 95% CI 1.05 to 2.49 $I^2=46.2\%, P=0.028$). We also performed a subgroup analysis based on the different dosages of liraglutide and found no
significant difference in hyperglycaemia with ketosis (test of subgroup difference: \( P=0.69 \)) among the group treated with 1.8 mg liraglutide (OR 2.24, 95% CI 1.03 to 4.86 \( I^2=44\%, \ P=0.04 \)), the group treated with 1.2 mg liraglutide (OR 1.62, 95% CI 0.60 to 4.35 \( I^2=60\%, \ P=0.34 \)), and the group treated with 0.6 mg liraglutide (OR 1.32, 95% CI 0.50 to 3.49 \( I^2=57\%, \ P=0.57 \)).

**Discussion**

We pooled data from the nine enrolled studies and found that compared to placebo or insulin without added drugs, incretin-based therapy significantly improved glycaemic control, decreased the total daily dose of insulin and reduced body weight without increasing the occurrence of severe hypoglycaemia in T1DM patients. These above data strongly support that incretin-based therapy can improve the management of T1DM.

Subgroup analysis showed that GLP-1 RAs but not DPP-4 inhibitors might reduce HbA1c and that the greater liraglutide dose was, the better the HbA1c-reducing effect. We observed a significant decrease in HbA1c in the subgroup treated for longer than 12 weeks; however, no significant reduction in HbA1c was observed in the subgroup treated with the intervention for a duration less than 12 weeks. It is possible that an intervention duration shorter than 12 weeks is not sufficient to observe an obvious change in HbA1c (18,30). In the subgroup with a mean baseline BMI \( \geq 28 \) kg/m\(^2\), the body weight and HbA1c significantly decreased after the incretin-based treatment. The effect of lowering body weight could result in improvement in insulin sensitivity (31), thereby contributing to decreased HbA1c and improved glycaemic control.
The mechanisms by which the gut hormone GLP-1 lowers blood glucose levels are to affect β cell (glucose-dependent stimulation of insulin production and secretion) and alpha cell (inhibition of glucagon release) function. A paradoxical hyperglucagonemia in T1DM, which could be attributed to β cell destruction and erratic blood glucose control, results in uncontrolled hepatic glucose production (32); thus, GLP-1 agonists could be used for T1DM. Additionally, GLP-1 has extrapancreatic effects, including a reduction in gastric emptying and intestinal glucose uptake, promotion of satiety and suppression of hepatic glucose production, resulting in reduced food intake and weight loss(8). Recently, increasing evidence has demonstrated the beneficial effects of GLP-1 on β cell function because GLP-1 has been shown to improve β cell function and survival in vitro and in several rodent models of diabetes (33). Therefore, incretin-based treatment offers possibilities that would benefit patients with T1DM.

Although our results strengthen the evidence supporting the efficacy of incretins, we did not pool the data about hypoglycaemia due to different definitions and the diversity of methods applied to assess outcomes. However, we performed a meta-analysis of the occurrence of severe hypoglycaemia, and the results indicated that incretins did not contribute to severe hypoglycaemia. This may partly due to that liraglutide does not impair glucagon counter-regulation of hypoglycaemia (34) and DPP-4 inhibitors did not cause severe hypoglycaemia in T1DM (35).

Additionally, we found that incretin-based treatment did have a relationship with the risk of hyperglycaemia with ketosis. And the subgroup analysis based on
liraglutide dosage showed that hyperglycaemia with ketosis may increase moderately in the group treated with 1.8 mg liraglutide. This finding could be explained by the reduced insulin dose in the group with the large dose of liraglutide, which may lead to ketone production (16). Moreover, due to just two small studies included and the great heterogeneity among groups, the results should be interpreted with caution.

Furthermore, our study also found that GLP-1 RAs increased the risk of gastrointestinal side effects, such as vomiting and nausea, but not diarrhoea. Among the nine enrolled trials included in our meta-analysis, six trials (15-18,26,28) reported adverse gastrointestinal effects, including nausea, diarrhoea and vomiting. Five trials (15-18,28) only used GLP-1 RAs for treatment, and the remaining trial (26) used either GLP-1 RA or a DPP-4 inhibitor. However, the latter only reported gastrointestinal disorders related to GLP-1 RA but not the DPP-4 inhibitor. Thus, the gastrointestinal side effects were all related to GLP-1 RAs, and there were no reports regarding the gastrointestinal adverse effects of DPP-4 inhibitors in T1DM. Therefore, further studies investigating DPP-4 inhibitors are warranted to explore the gastrointestinal adverse events in patients with T1D. In addition, the same gastrointestinal side effects were observed in the patients with type 2 diabetes who were treated with GLP-1 RAs (36).

To the best of our knowledge, this is the most accurate and comprehensive meta-analysis of incretin-based therapy without other classified antidiabetic drugs in T1DM. In 2016, Guo, H. et al. (35) conducted a meta-analysis of six RCTs investigating the efficacy and safety of DPP-4 inhibitors in T1DM. The authors
concluded that DPP-4 inhibitors could not show any advantage in decreasing HbA1c levels in patients with T1DM. In 2016, Wang, W. et al. (37) performed a meta-analysis of twelve studies to clarify the efficacy and safety of incretin-based drugs in patients with T1DM. They found that treatment of incretin-based drugs in patients with T1DM was significantly associated with reduced HbA1c and weight loss. However, the authors pooled analyses, including combination therapy and active drug-controlled and placebo-controlled studies. We provided an updated overview, and our analysis excluded clinical trials using an active drug as a comparator.

There are several strengths of our meta-analysis. Most importantly, we used multiple strategies and extensive literature searches to identify studies and adopted rigorous criteria for including studies. Moreover, subgroup analysis was conducted according to the Cochrane handbook to minimize the heterogeneity. Furthermore, a recent trial was incorporated to better clarify the effects of incretin-based therapy on HbA1c and body weight in T1DM patients (16). Moreover, the studies included in our meta-analysis were all RCTs with high quality. Finally, we searched ClinicalTrials.gov for more detailed information to ensure that the data were accurate.

However, the following limitations of our meta-analysis must be considered. First, a very large variation existed in the sample sizes of the included studies, which ranged from 17 to 1389 cases. Significant differences were also noted regarding study design, type of incretin-based drug and dose of the GLP-1 RA liraglutide. Second, the results might be influenced by two of the included open-label studies because these studies were not blinded. Third, we did not include observational studies. Fourth, we
excluded some studies because of the unavailability of original data, which could contribute to inaccuracy of the risk estimates. Finally, we did not include unpublished grey studies, which mainly provided negative results. The drawbacks mentioned above may lead to moderate heterogeneity. The results based on heterogeneous data should be interpreted carefully although subgroup analysis was applied.

**Conclusions**

In summary, the available evidence indicated that GLP-1 RAs, but not DPP4 inhibitors, along with insulin in patients with T1DM increase the efficacy of glycaemic control without increasing the rate of severe hypoglycaemia. The adverse events associated with incretin-based treatments were moderate. Further studies with greater power are warranted to explore the side effects of incretins.
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**Figure Legends**

Fig 1: Flow diagram of studies identified, included and excluded.

Fig 2: Risk of bias assessment. (A) Summary of risk of bias. (B) Quality assessment percentages in the meta-analysis.

Fig 3: Effects of incretin-based therapies on HbA1c value.

Fig 4: Effects of incretin-based therapies on total daily insulin dose.

Fig 5: Effects of incretin-based therapies on body weight.

Fig 6: Effects of incretin-based therapies on the risk of severe hypoglycaemia.

Fig 7: Effects of incretin-based therapies on gastrointestinal side effects.

Fig 8: Effects of incretin-based therapies on hyperglycaemia with ketosis.

Table 1: The characteristics of the nine enrolled studies

Table 2: Subgroup analysis of HbA1C and body weight

**Appendices**

Appendix1 - The complete database search strategies.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Intervention of GLP-1/DPP-4 inhibitor type</th>
<th>Duration of interventions</th>
<th>N (total)</th>
<th>Number of men</th>
<th>Mean Age(year)</th>
<th>Mean baseline HbA1c(%)</th>
<th>Mean BMI (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Mean baseline weight (kg)</th>
<th>Mean duration of diabetes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ahren(15)</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Caucasians</td>
<td>Double-blind RCT</td>
<td>I: Liraglutide</td>
<td>26 weeks</td>
<td>831</td>
<td>382 (46%)</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>8.08%</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>83.9</td>
<td>21 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathieu(16)</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Caucasians</td>
<td>Double-blind RCT</td>
<td>I: Liraglutide</td>
<td>52 weeks</td>
<td>1389</td>
<td>663 (48%)</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>8.16%</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>21.4 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dejgaard(17)</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Caucasians</td>
<td>Double-blind RCT</td>
<td>I: Liraglutide</td>
<td>24 weeks</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>65 (65%)</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>8.70%</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>22.5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuhadiya(18)</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Caucasians</td>
<td>Double-blind RCT</td>
<td>I: Liraglutide</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>28 (44%)</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>7.61%</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>84.8</td>
<td>24 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garg(19)</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Caucasians</td>
<td>Double-blind RCT</td>
<td>I: Saxagliptin</td>
<td>16 weeks</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>68 (54%)</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>8.40%</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>21 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hari Kumar(26)</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Asians</td>
<td>Open-label RCT</td>
<td>I: Exenatide/saxagliptin</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13 (72%)</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>9.70%</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>0.11 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhao(27)</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Asians</td>
<td>Open-label RCT</td>
<td>I: Saxagliptin</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>18 (60%)</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>6.45%</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.4 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frandsen(28)</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Caucasians</td>
<td>Double-blind RCT</td>
<td>I: Liraglutide</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>24 (66%)</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>8.75%</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>18.95 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frandsen(29)</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Caucasians</td>
<td>Double-blind RCT</td>
<td>I: Liraglutide</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12 (71%)</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>8.86%</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>20.9 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI=body mass index; HbA1c=glycosylated haemoglobin; NA: not available
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes of interest</th>
<th>Studies, no.</th>
<th>WMD (95%)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>X²</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>I², %</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HbA1C (%)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-0.17 (-0.24,-0.11)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study design</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double-blinded design</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-0.18 (-0.24,-0.11)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open-labelled design</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0 (-0.42,0.42)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Different classes of incretin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLP-1 agonists</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-0.20 (-0.26,-0.13)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPP-4 inhibitors</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.05 (-0.17, 0.27)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Different doses of Liraglutide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. mg liraglutide</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.24 (-0.32,-0.16)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 mg liraglutide</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.20 (-0.28,-0.11)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6 mg liraglutide</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.15 (-0.24,-0.07)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of intervention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤12 weeks</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.13 (-0.39, 0.13)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;12 weeks</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-0.18 (-0.24,-0.11)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>6.89</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline BMI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI&lt;28 kg/m²</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.00 (-0.19, 0.19)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI≥28 kg/m²</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.20 (-0.27,-0.13)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-3.24 (-4.43,-2.04)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>47.98</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean baseline BMI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI&lt;28 kg/m²</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-2.36 (-5.76,1.03)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>21.81</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI≥28 kg/m²</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-3.81 (-4.59,-3.03)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>8.68</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Different classes of incretin</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GLP-1 agonists</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-3.86 (-4.42,-3.30)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>9.12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPP-4 inhibitors</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.05 (-1.11,1.21)</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different doses of Liraglutide

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose of Liraglutide</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.8 mg liraglutide</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-4.88 (-5.35,-4.41)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 mg liraglutide</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-3.82 (-4.26,-3.39)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6 mg liraglutide</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-2.21 (-2.62,-1.79)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WMD=weighted mean difference; HbA1c=glycosylated haemoglobin; BMI=body mass index.
Fig 1: Flow diagram of studies identified, included and excluded.

Records identified through database searching (n=2,398)
Additional records identified through other sources (n=0)
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Full-text articles assessed for eligibility (n=86)

Studies included in quantitative synthesis (meta-analysis) (n=9)
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No prognostic outcomes: (n=4)
Meeting abstracts: (n=45)
Duration of interventions < 12 weeks: (n=7)
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Reviews or meta-analysis: (n=7)
Fig 2: Risk of bias assessment. (A) Summary of risk of bias. (B) Quality assessment percentages in the meta-analysis.
Fig 3: Effects of incretin-based therapies on HbA1c value.
Fig 4: Effects of incretin-based therapies on total daily insulin dose.
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Fig 5: Effects of incretin-based therapies on body weight.
Fig 6: Effects of incretin-based therapies on the risk of severe hypoglycaemia.
Fig 7: Effects of incretin-based therapies on gastrointestinal side effects.
### Fig 8: Effects of incretin-based therapies on hyperglycaemia with ketosis.
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Supplementary materials

Search strategy for Pubmed

1. "Diabetes Mellitus, Type 1"[Mesh]
2. (type 1[Title/Abstract]) OR type I[Title/Abstract]
3. diabet*[Title/Abstract]
4. 2 AND 3
5. autoimmune diabetes [Title/Abstract]
6. 1 OR 4 OR 5
7. "Dipeptidyl-Peptidase IV Inhibitors"[Mesh]
8. ((dipeptidyl peptidase) OR dipeptidylpeptidase OR dpp) AND (4 OR IV) AND inhibitor*[Title/Abstract]
9. gliptin* OR alogliptin OR anagliptin OR bisegliptin OR carmegliptin OR denagliptin OR dutogliptin OR evogliptin OR gemigliptin OR linagliptin OR melanilin OR melogliptin OR omarigliptin OR sitagliptin OR saxagliptin OR teneligliptin OR trelaglpiotn OR vildagliptin [Title/Abstract]
10. 7 OR 8 OR 9
11. "Glucagon-Like Peptide 1"[Mesh]
12. ((glucagon like peptide*[Title/Abstract]) OR GLP 1[Title/Abstract]) OR GLP1[Title/Abstract]
13. exenatide OR liraglutide OR albiglutide OR elsiglutide OR lixisenatide OR dulaglutide OR taspoglutide OR semaglutide OR teduglutide [Title/Abstract]
14. 11 OR 12 OR 13
15. 10 OR 14
16. randomized controlled trial[Publication Type]
17. controlled clinical trial[Publication Type]
18. (randomi?ed OR placebo OR randomly) [Title/Abstract]
19. trial[Title]
20. 16 OR 17 OR 18 OR 19
21. 6 AND 16 AND 20
Search strategy for Embase

1. 'insulin dependent diabetes mellitus'/exp
2. ((‘type 1’ OR ‘type I’) AND diabet*):ab,ti
3. 1 OR 2
4. 'autoimmune diabetes'/exp
5. ('autoimmune diabetes'):ab,ti
6. 4 OR 5
7. 3 OR 6
8. 'dipeptidyl peptidase iv inhibitor'/exp
9. ((‘dipeptidyl peptidase’ OR dipeptidylpeptidase OR dpp) AND (4 OR IV) AND inhibitor*):ab,ti
10. (gliptin* OR alogliptin OR anaglaptin OR biseglititin OR carmegliptin OR denaglaptin OR dutoglaptin OR evogliptin OR gemiglaptin OR gosogliptin OR linaglaptin OR melaglaptin OR omariglaptin OR sitaglaptin OR saxaglaptin OR tenelaglaptin OR vildaglaptin):ab,ti
11. 8 OR 9 OR 10
12. 'glucagon like peptide l'/exp
13. ('glucagon like peptide*' OR ‘GLP 1’ OR GLP1):ab,ti
14. (exenatide OR liraglutide OR albiglutide OR elsiglutide OR lixisenatide OR dulaglutide OR taspoglutide OR semaglutide OR teduglutide):ab,ti
15. 12 OR 13 OR 14
16. 11 OR 15
17. 'randomized controlled trial':it
18. 'controlled clinical trial':it
19. (randomised OR randomized OR placebo OR randomly) :ab,ti
20. trial:ti
21. 17 OR 18 OR 19 OR 20
22. 7 AND 16 AND 21
Search strategy for the Cochrane Library

1. MeSH descriptor: [Stomach Neoplasms] explode all trees
2. (gastric or Stomach):ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)
3. (Cancer or tumor or tumour or carcinoma or neoplas* or malignan*):ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)
4. 2 AND 3
5. 1 OR 4
6. MeSH descriptor: [Radiotherapy] explode all trees
7. (radiotherap* or radio-therap*):ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)
8. 6 OR 7
9. "randomized controlled trial":pt (Word variations have been searched)
10. "controlled clinical trial":pt (Word variations have been searched)
11. (randomised or randomized or placebo or randomly):ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)
12. trial:ti (Word variations have been searched)
13. 9 OR 10 OR 11 OR 12
14. 5 AND 8 AND 13