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Abstract
Insulin has been used for diabetes therapy and has achieved significant therapeutic effect. In recent years, the use of purified and recombinant human insulin preparations has markedly reduced, but not completely suppressed, the incidence of insulin antibodies (IAs). IAs induced by exogenous insulin in diabetic patients is associated with clinical events, which is named exogenous insulin antibody syndrome (EIAS). The present review is based on our research and summarizes the characterization of IAs, the factors affecting IA development, the clinical significance of IAs and the treatments for EIAS.

Introduction
Since insulin was discovered by Banting and Best in the 1920s, it has been used for diabetes therapy and has achieved significant therapeutic effects. However, some immunological responses to exogenous insulin have been found (1). It was first reported by Berson and coworkers (2) that clinical hypersensitivity and insulin resistance were associated with circulating insulin antibodies (IAs) in patients receiving exogenous animal insulin therapy. Over the past few decades, with the wide use of human insulin, several clinical trials have revealed that the human insulin preparation can also induce IAs, although to a lesser extent than does animal insulin (3, 4, 5). In recent years, the use of purified and recombinant human insulin preparations has markedly reduced, but not completely suppressed, the incidence of IAs. Sporadic case reports have been published regarding individuals whose serum had high titre IAs while being treated with recombinant human insulin or human insulin analogues (1), especially in Asian populations (6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12).

Different from insulin autoimmune syndrome (IAS, also called Hirata disease), which is a condition characterized by spontaneous hypoglycaemia and is associated with the presence of insulin autoantibodies in patients without previous insulin exposure (13), IAs induced by exogenous insulin in diabetic patients is associated with clinical events, such as hypersensitivity reactions, pregnancy, glycaemia variability and metabolic control (1), and mainly with insulin resistance/hyperglycaemia (6, 10, 14, 15, 16) or hypoglycaemia (6, 7, 8, 10, 17, 18). Therefore, we named this condition exogenous insulin antibody syndrome (EIAS). Recently, we reported 12 cases of patients with EIAS in which the clinical characteristics and IA pharmacokinetic parameters were analysed, and all of the patients
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subsequently received effective treatment (10). The present review is based on our research and summarizes the characterization of IAs, the factors affecting IA development, the clinical significance of IAs and the treatments for EIAS.

Characterization of IAs

IAs’ representatives are found in all of the Ig classes. Among them, IgG is predominant (19, 20), although IgM, IgA and IgE have also been described (21, 22, 23, 24). The relationship between Ig class and clinical manifestation is debated. Grammer and coworkers reported that IgG was associated with cases of severe insulin resistance (23). IgM was detected during early insulin treatment and reported in patients with immunological insulin resistance (19, 25). Several reports regarding allergic reactions have implicated a role of IgA or IgE alone or in combination with IgG (22, 23, 24, 26, 27). However, other studies found no correlations between Ig presence and allergic reactions (28, 29, 30).

The two classic techniques used to measure IAs are enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and radioligand-binding assay (RBA). The differences between ELISA and RBA measurements have been attributed to inherent differences in assay formats. ELISA reaches a stage of excess antigens through the use of progressively diluted serum; thus, IAs of varying affinities are measured with this assay (31, 32). In contrast, RBA is a solution-based assay in which low levels of 125I-labelled insulin are used; thus, this assay primarily measures high-affinity IAs (31, 32). The radioimmunoassay (RIA) has been used to measure the amount of IAs via Scatchard plots analysis (33). In addition, Kure and coworkers and Trabucchi and coworkers (34, 35) performed a trial to establish a new method for the quantitative and qualitative analysis of IAs by using surface plasmon resonance.

Based on the binding affinity and binding capacity of IAs as determined from the apparent linear regions of curvilinear Scatchard plots, IAs can be divided into two populations: low affinity/high capacity and high affinity/low capacity (Fig. 1). The former is commonly found in IAS patients with insulin autoantibodies, w’hich lead to postprandial hyperglycaemia and nocturnal hypoglycaemia, whereas the latter is associated with EIAS, which is typically accompanied by severe insulin resistance (1).
IAs. At present, there are no clinical data regarding IA level changes in subjects receiving detemir insulin.

**Delivery route of insulin**

Subcutaneous injection is the most common method of insulin administration. Researchers found an increase in IAs during continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion and multiple-injection therapy in comparison with conventional treatment with two daily injections of combined regular and intermediate insulin (43), suggesting that differences in the method of insulin administration can affect IA development. Various studies have found large increases in plasma levels of IAs following the delivery of insulin with implantable insulin pumps (IIPs), leading researchers to suggest potential causative mechanisms such as the storage of insulin in the pump reservoir or the presence of surfactants or polyethylene glycol (44, 45). A small, nonrandomized study designed to compare the antigenicity of continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion (CSII) with that of continuous peritoneal insulin infusion (CPII) demonstrated that IAs were increased significantly in the CPII group relative to the CSII group (46). However, according to the recent review from Radermecker and coworkers (47), even if CSII and CPII might promote the development of circulating IAs, this increase did not lead to immunological insulin resistance, compared to that previously described with animal non-purified insulin preparations and seemed to have only marginal influence on blood glucose control or complications in most diabetic patients.

As insulin injection therapy is burdensome for many patients, novel routes of insulin administration are an area of interest in the diabetes field. A potential alternative to the subcutaneous delivery of insulin in the management of diabetes is delivery via inhalation (48). Exubera was the first inhalable insulin approved by the Food and Drug Association (FDA) and the European Medicines Agency (EMEA) and was approved for the treatment of both type 1 and type 2 diabetes in 2006. The other two inhaled insulin systems are the AERx insulin diabetes management system (AERx iDMS) and the AIR insulin system. Studies of the induction of IAs have been conducted with these three types of inhaled insulin. Fineberg and coworkers (20) found that among patients with type 1 diabetes, IA development in an Exubera group exceeded that in a group receiving regular subcutaneous insulin. Similarly, a prospective randomized pharmacodynamic study of inhaled and subcutaneous insulin found that the IA levels of the Exubera group were significantly higher than those of the subcutaneous insulin group (49). Furthermore, another study found that IA levels declined after cessation of Exubera (50). In the AERxiDMS trials, IA levels increased in the inhaled insulin group but remained unchanged in the subcutaneous insulin group (51). The findings of the AIR trials were similar; both Garg SK and Ang E reported that IA levels were significantly greater in the AIR insulin group than in the subcutaneous insulin group (52, 53). However, the future of these inhaled insulins is limited: Pfizer announced that it would no longer be selling Exubera secondary to poor sales and acceptance, Novo Nordisk elected to discontinue further study with the AERxiDMS system given the experience of Pfizer with Exubera and Eli Lilly and partners are not pursuing development of the AIR insulin system (48). However, a new inhaled insulin, Afrezza, was recently been approved by the FDA (54). Studies of IA development with this inhaled insulin are needed.

**Patient factors**

IAs are partly influenced by genetic factors. Previous studies have found that the presence of histocompatibility leukocyte antigen (HLA)-B15, HLA-DR4 and HLA-DR7 increased the rate of IA formation, whereas the presence of HLA-B8 and HLA-DR3 had the opposite effect (3, 55, 56). However, another prospective study found no effect of HLA-DR3, HLA-DR4 or their combination on IA formation (57). Recently, four patients from Japan who suffered from EIAS were identified; their HLAAs were DRB1*0406, DRB1*090102/150201-DQB1*030302/060101, DRB1*130201-DQB1*060401 and DRB1 080302, 090102 (6, 58, 59). Age may play an important role in the IA response with exogenous insulin administration. The research of Fineberg and coworkers (60) revealed a 3% decrease in the risk of IA development for every one-year increase in age, that is to say, the development of IA in response to exogenous insulin was inversely correlated with age. The underlying mechanism is unclear; it is possible that immunological competence declines with age and thereby leads to a decreased ability to generate long-lasting memory cells, delayed hypersensitivity responses and a decreased ability to form IAs. However, most studies addressing patient factors in IA development were conducted in the previous century and used animal insulin (bovine or porcine); thus, new large-scale studies using human insulin are needed.
Clinical significance of IAs

Glycaemic fluctuation

The main symptom of EIAS is fluctuations in blood glucose; most patients tend to experience hypoglycaemia at night and hyperglycaemia during the day. A small-size clinical trial from Chinese indicated that the inner daily glucose fluctuation was larger in IA-positive patients than in IA-negative ones (61) largely because of immunological insulin resistance. The IAs combined with exogenous insulin, which induced immunological insulin resistance and led to a higher postprandial peak in blood glucose. However, this combination was reversible: when bound insulin dissociated due to the change in pH at night, free insulin increased, thus resulting in hypoglycaemia (6, 47, 62). It is worth noting that the clinical importance of IA causing hyper-variability of glycemic control is very rare, even in the Asian populations.

Increased insulin resistance and risk of hyperglycaemia

Most studies published in the 1980s and 1990s found no significant relationship between IA and glycated haemoglobin or average glycaemia (1). However, cases of insulin resistance attributed to IAs have been continually reported since 2000, including cases involving patients treated only with human insulin or insulin analogues (17, 63, 64, 65, 66). The effects of IAs include their ability to increase insulin resistance and reduce insulin action, thus triggering hyperglycaemia (‘tampon-like effect’) (47) (Fig. 2). High IA titres have been associated with insulin resistance, reflected by an increase in insulin requirement (15). In a recent study, immune-reactive insulin levels were strongly correlated with IA levels, and elevated fasting immuno-reactive insulin levels were an independent risk factor for coronary heart disease in insulin-treated older adults (67). Furthermore, a recent

Figure 2
A schematic drawing of IAs’ clinical significance. Massive volumes of insulin binding to the insulin antibodies, a marked increase in insulin resistance is induced, insulin antibodies could reduce insulin action, thus triggering hyperglycaemia (‘tampon-like effect’). On the other hand, insulin antibodies could also enhance and prolong the pharmacodynamic action of insulin by serving as a carrier, when massive volumes of insulin become dissociated, free insulin increases all at once, thus leading to hypoglycaemia (‘reservoir-like effect’).
clinical trial involving Chinese populations conducted to study the immunogenicity of recombinant human insulin and its clinical significance during treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) patients indicated that (1) recombinant human insulin has immunogenicity; (2) the serum insulin levels of diabetic patients who have used recombinant human insulin should be routinely measured to test for hyperinsulinaemia and insulin resistance and (3) the presence of IAs is a risk factor for insulin resistance and is associated with the compliance rate of glycated haemoglobin (68). Although insulin resistance has been described in patients with high IA levels, a mechanism underlying their causal relationship has not been clearly established.

Prolongation of insulin action and risk of hypoglycaemia

Fineberg surmised that there was no relationship between hypoglycaemic event rate and IA level (1); however, recent studies suggest that high levels of IAs can be associated with clinical hypoglycaemia syndromes in a small number of patients (10, 12, 17, 34, 66, 69). For example, in a recent study, insulin binding to antibodies >15% appears to be a strong risk factor for inexplicable severe hypoglycaemias in type 1 diabetic children. Another study found that insulin-receiving individuals with high IA levels and recurrent hypoglycaemia had a higher dissociation constant for insulin than for monoclonal antibodies to human insulin, as measured by surface plasmon resonance; furthermore, this study reported that IA characteristics were among the causative factors in hypoglycaemic episodes (34). To date, two clinical studies have been conducted with our patients with diabetes. One study found that the occurrence of hypoglycaemia was significantly higher in IA-positive patients than that in IA-negative ones (68); the other reported that the characteristics of immunological hypoglycaemia were associated with IAs induced by exogenous insulin (12). The reasons for these differing results from those of previous studies are not clear but might reflect study differences in study protocols, subjects and the sensitivity of methods for detecting IAs. IAs could enhance and prolong the pharmacodynamic action of insulin by serving as a carrier (‘reservoir-like effect’) (Fig. 2); presumably, antibodies first bind the insulin in circulation and later dissociate from the insulin, allowing the activation of cellular insulin receptors and leading to unexpected hypoglycaemia (47).

Other relationships

In addition to the above-described clinical manifestations, clinical issues such as pregnancy and diabetic complications have been shown to be related to IAs. Some early studies suggested that IAs were associated with increases in neonatal morbidity, including hypoglycaemia, respiratory distress syndrome and hypocalcaemia (70, 71). However, studies in which pregnant women with diabetes were monitored for glycemic control argue against a relationship between IAs and foetal risk, with no distinguishing clinical characteristics of neonatal hypoglycaemia found to be associated with IAs (1). Moreover, the majority of human studies have shown no relationship between IAs and diabetic microangiopathic complications, including nephropathy, retinopathy and neuropathy (1).

Treatments for EIAS

Discontinuation of insulin or combination of oral hypoglycaemic agents

IAs have a long half-life in the body. A small prospective research study demonstrated that IA levels gradually decrease within 1 month after insulin withdrawal but that the full disappearance of IAs can require more than 1 or 2 years (72). It has been reported that metformin combined with an α-glucosidase inhibitor can significantly lower plasma IA concentrations. In addition, a combination of liraglutide and sulfonylurea has been reported as a treatment for type 2 diabetic patients with high titres of IAs produced by exogenous insulin (58). Potential explanations for these observations are as follows: sulfonylurea may stimulate the production of free insulin or reduce the binding capacity of IAs and insulin; metformin may strengthen the action of free insulin and promote the dissociation of insulin immune complexes; and GLP-1 receptor agonists, as potent stimulators of insulin secretion, especially in combination with sulfonylurea, can enhance the role of insulin when in this combination (Table 1).

Replacement of insulin formulations

Because the immunogenicity of human insulin is less than that of animal insulin, before the advent of insulin analogues, the primary treatment for EIAS in clinical practice was generally to switch the insulin formulation
to human insulin. Previous research has shown that human insulin can be considered an alternative treatment in cases of immune-mediated insulin resistance induced by animal insulin (73). It is well known that the insulin analogues have lower immunogenicity than animal/human insulin; accordingly, replacement with an insulin analogue is an effective method for the treatment of EIAS. For example, (1) insulin lispro reduced IAs in a patient with type 2 diabetes with immunological insulin resistance (64); (2) insulin glulisine can ameliorate nocturnal hypoglycaemia related to IAs (8); (3) diabetic ketosis caused by IAs induced by the insulin analogue aspart was successfully treated with the insulin analogue glulisine (74) and (4) results from a multinational, randomized parallel group clinical trial showed that treatment with glargine in patients previously treated with insulin for at least 1 year did not result in increased insulin antibody levels (42), which suggested that treatment with glargine was feasible. However, a recent study by Hattori and coworkers (9) found that glargine and aspart were more antigenic than other insulin analogues. The reasons for these discordant results are unclear but might be due to study differences in study protocols and the sensitivity of methods for detecting IAs.

Administration of glucocorticoids, immunosuppressants, plasmapheresis and other treatments

EIAS is an immune disease and can, therefore, be treated with glucocorticoids or immunosuppressants. Several studies have reported that patients with hypoglycaemia and hyperglycaemia due to IAs against therapeutic human insulin can be successfully treated with prednisolone (75, 76, 77). Immunosuppressants such as mycophenolate mofetil (78) and cyclophosphamide (6) are also used to treat EIAS. Ishizuka and coworkers (6) encountered two patients who developed daytime hyperglycaemia and early morning hypoglycaemia because of IAs. To decrease their IA levels, one patient was administered 40 mg/day of prednisolone and added cyclophosphamide (300 mg/month) pulse therapy, and the other patient underwent double filtration plasmapheresis (DFPP) and received oral administration of prednisolone (40 mg/day) and cyclophosphamide (300 mg/month) pulse therapy to suppress IA production due to a very poor blood glucose profile. In addition, Koyama and coworkers (17) successfully treated two diabetic patients with hypoglycaemia and hyperglycaemia due to insulin antibodies against therapeutic human insulin using plasmapheresis and prednisolone. The exact mechanism of action was unclear; however, glucocorticoids may inhibit insulin antibody production or promote insulin antibody immune complex dissociation. Furthermore, immunosuppressive agents, such as cyclophosphamide and mycophenolate mofetil, may specifically inhibit T and B cells and thereby reduce the production of IAs. In addition, for patients with high IAA titres showing no response to steroid therapy, intramuscular immunoglobulin one time per week was found to be an effective treatment (79). Recently, a rare case report demonstrated that medical nutrition therapy was effective in the management of hypoglycaemia caused by IAs, which expands our knowledge of the management of hypoglycaemia and highlights the significance of nutritional and lifestyle interventions in the treatment of IA-induced hypoglycaemia (11).

**Conclusion**

With the wide use of purified and recombinant human insulin preparations, the incidence EIAS has gradually decreased. However, the literature and our own experience indicate that the production of IAs in patients with diabetes mellitus continues, especially in Asian populations. Evidence that IAs induced by exogenous insulin therapy can cause clinical significance is limited to case reports, but IA production does greatly affect glycaemic control according to our research (10). Type 2 diabetic patients receiving long-term recombinant human insulin treatment who have unexplained high plasma glucose or frequent reoccurrence of hypoglycaemia should be investigated for the presence of EIAS. Administration of prednisone, changing insulin formulations or discontinuing insulin and switching to oral antidiabetic agents are effective treatments of EIAS.
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