RESEARCH

Replication of newly proposed TNM staging system for medullary thyroid carcinoma: a nationwide study

Jes Sloth Mathiesen^{1,2}, Jens Peter Kroustrup³, Peter Vestergaard^{3,4}, Per Løgstrup Poulsen⁵, Åse Krogh Rasmussen⁶, Ulla Feldt-Rasmussen⁶, Sten Schytte⁷, Stefano Christian Londero⁷, Henrik Baymler Pedersen⁸, Christoffer Holst Hahn⁹, Jens Bentzen¹⁰, Sören Möller^{2,11}, Mette Gaustadnes¹², Maria Rossing¹³, Finn Cilius Nielsen¹³, Kim Brixen² and Christian Godballe¹ on behalf of Danish Thyroid Cancer Group (DATHYRCA)

¹Department of ORL Head & Neck Surgery and Audiology, Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark

²Department of Clinical Research, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark

³Department of Clinical Medicine and Endocrinology, Aalborg University Hospital, Aalborg, Denmark

⁴Steno Diabetes Center North Jutland, Aalborg, Denmark

⁵Department of Internal Medicine and Endocrinology, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark

⁶Department of Medical Endocrinology, Copenhagen University Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark

⁷Department of ORL Head & Neck Surgery, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark

⁸Department of ORL Head & Neck Surgery, Aalborg University Hospital, Aalborg, Denmark

⁹Department of ORL Head & Neck Surgery, Copenhagen University Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark

¹⁰Department of Oncology, Herlev Hospital, Herlev, Denmark

¹¹Odense Patient data Explorative Network (OPEN), Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark

¹²Department of Molecular Medicine, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark

¹³Center for Genomic Medicine, Copenhagen University Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark

Correspondence should be addressed to J S Mathiesen: jes_mathiesen@yahoo.dk

Abstract

A recent study proposed new TNM groupings for better survival discrimination among stage groups for medullary thyroid carcinoma (MTC) and validated these groupings in a population-based cohort in the United States. However, it is unknown how well the groupings perform in populations outside the United States. Consequently, we conducted the first population-based study aiming to evaluate if the recently proposed TNM groupings provide better survival discrimination than the current American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) TNM staging system (seventh and eighth edition) in a nationwide MTC cohort outside the United States. This retrospective cohort study included 191 patients identified from the nationwide Danish MTC cohort between 1997 and 2014. In multivariate analysis, hazard ratios for overall survival under the current AJCC TNM staging system vs the proposed TNM groupings with stage I as reference were 1.32 (95% CI: 0.38–4.57) vs 3.04 (95% CI: 1.38–6.67) for stage II, 2.06 (95% CI: 0.45–9.39) vs 3.59 (95% CI: 1.61–8.03) for stage III and 5.87 (95% CI: 2.02–17.01) vs 59.26 (20.53–171.02) for stage IV. The newly proposed TNM groupings appear to provide better survival discrimination in the nationwide Danish MTC cohort than the current AJCC TNM staging. Adaption of the proposed TNM groupings by the current AJCC TNM staging system may potentially improve accurateness in survival discrimination. However, before such an adaption further population-based studies securing external validity are needed.

Key Words

- ▶ thyroid
- ▶ endocrine cancers
- ▶ rare diseases/syndromes

Endocrine Connections
(2019) **8**, 1–7

Introduction

Medullary thyroid carcinoma (MTC) is a rare neuroendocrine tumor with an incidence of 0.19 per 100,000 per year and a prevalence of 3.8 per 100,000 inhabitants. MTC is divided into a sporadic and hereditary type accounting for approximately 75 and 25%, respectively (1).

MTC can display a highly variable biological behavior, ranging from indolent to very aggressive (2, 3, 4). This necessitates strong outcome predictors, for example, the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) TNM staging system (5, 6, 7). The current AJCC TNM version (seventh and eighth edition) by large mirrors that for papillary and follicular thyroid carcinoma in spite of the fact that MTC differs considerably from the other histological subtypes (2). For this and other reasons several studies have questioned the accuracy of the AJCC TNM staging system for MTC and thus proposed different modifications (3, 8, 9, 10).

Based on data from the US National Cancer Database (11), a large population-based study recently proposed new TNM groupings for better discrimination of mortality risk among the stage groups (2). Application of the proposed TNM groupings to a data set from the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program (12) demonstrated a better stage separation compared to that of the current AJCC TNM staging system (2). In brief, overall differences between the current AJCC TNM staging system and the newly proposed groupings include categorization of patients with small tumors and local metastases in stages I–II and inclusion of only patients with distant metastases in stage IV in the proposed TNM groupings. It is however unknown how well these groupings perform in populations outside the United States.

Consequently, we conducted the first population-based study aiming to evaluate if the recently proposed TNM groupings provide better survival discrimination than the current AJCC TNM staging system in a nationwide MTC cohort outside the United States.

Patients and methods

Patients

This retrospective cohort study included 191 unique patients diagnosed with MTC in Denmark between January 1, 1997, and December 31, 2014.

An MTC cohort, initially comprising 476 patients diagnosed with MTC in Denmark between January 1960

and December 2014, was constructed through three nationwide registries: the Danish Thyroid Cancer Database, the Danish Cancer Registry and the Danish Pathology Register (13, 14, 15). This has been described in detail previously (1, 16). The Danish MTC cohort is subdivided by year of diagnosis into an uncertain period (1960–1996) where complete coverage could not be guaranteed and into a nationwide period (1997–2014) where coverage of the entire country was considered complete. For the purpose of this study, we extracted the 224 patients diagnosed in the nationwide period. Of the 224 patients, four were excluded as they were diagnosed by autopsy. Furthermore, for the best possible replication, our cohort was trimmed according to the cohort from the study proposing new TNM groupings (2). We therefore additionally excluded 29 patients: those <18 years at diagnosis ($n=10$) (16, 17, 18, 19, 20), those with insufficient TNM data ($n=2$) and those who underwent less surgery than hemithyroidectomy ($n=17$). This resulted in 191 patients with histologically verified MTC.

The investigation was approved by the Danish Health Authority (3-3013-395/3) and the Danish Data Protection Agency (18/17801). Once approved by the former, patient consent is not necessary according to the Danish legislation.

Methods

Data were provided by the Danish Thyroid Cancer Database (13). Where this was insufficient, data were drawn from the Danish Cancer Registry (14), the Danish Pathology Register (15) or medical records.

Covariates were age, sex, year of diagnosis and TNM stage. Also patients were classified according to MTC type as sporadic or hereditary. This was primarily based on the absence or presence of rearranged during transfection (*RET*) germline mutations. *RET* testing and MTC classification has been described elsewhere (1, 21). Staging was performed according to the current AJCC TNM staging system (seventh and eighth edition) (22, 23) and according to the newly proposed TNM groupings (2) (Table 1). Staging was based on clinical and pathological assessment. If there was a discrepancy, pathological staging overruled clinical.

Survival

Outcomes were overall and disease-specific survival. Survival time was calculated as the time from MTC

Table 1 Distribution of 191 patients with medullary thyroid carcinoma in Denmark 1997–2014 according to the current^a and proposed TNM staging system^b.

Stage	Current	n (%)	Proposed	n (%)
I	T1N0M0	51 (27)	T1N0-1aM0 T2N0M0	88 (46)
II	T2-3N0M0	38 (20)	T1N1bM0 T2N1a-1bM0 T3N0M0	54 (28)
III	T1-3N1aM0	16 (8)	T3N1a-1bM0 T4N0-1bM0	40 (21)
IV	T1-3N1bM0 T4N0-1bM0 T1-4N0-1bM1	86 (45)	T1-4N0-1bM1	9 (5)

^aStaging was based on the American Joint Committee on Cancer 7th and 8th edition (22, 23); ^bstaging was based on newly proposed groupings (2). M, metastasis; N, node; T, tumor.

diagnosis until death, emigration or last follow-up (January 1, 2018), whichever came first. For calculation of overall and disease-specific survival, all deaths and deaths due to MTC were considered as an event, respectively.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are reported as median with interquartile range or mean with standard deviation depending on distribution. Survival data were analyzed by the Kaplan–Meier method. Cox proportional hazards regression model was employed for multivariate analyses. The Akaike criterion was used to estimate the relative quality of statistical models. *P* values below 0.05 were considered significant. Multiple testing was adjusted by the Bonferroni method (24). All analyses were done using Stata 15.1 (StataCorp).

Results

A total of 191 patients were included in the study. Patient characteristics are shown in Table 2. The overall female–male ratio was 1.51 (95 CI: 1.10–1.95). In the 42 patients with hereditary MTC, the following *RET* mutations were detected: C611W (*n*=3), C611Y (*n*=29), C618F (*n*=1), C618Y (*n*=3), C620R (*n*=2), C634R (*n*=1), C634Y+Y791F (*n*=1), V804M (*n*=1) and M918T (*n*=1). Several of these families have been reported earlier (19, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29).

Under the current AJCC TNM staging system 51 (27%), 38 (20%), 16 (8%) and 86 (45%) were classified in stages I, II, III and IV, respectively. Among the patients in stages I, II, III and IV, 51 (100%), 9 (24%), 2 (13%) and 9 (10%) remained in the same group under the proposed

TNM groupings. The remaining patients were reclassified to lower stage groups. Thus, the distribution of patients under the proposed TNM groupings was 88 (46%) in stage I, 54 (28%) in stage II, 40 (21%) in stage III and 9 (5%) in stage IV (Table 1).

Survival

Median follow-up time was 7.15 years (interquartile range, 0.52–20.59). At last follow-up, 59 patients had died. Of these, 37 had died from MTC, while 22 died from other causes.

Overall and disease-specific survival according to the current AJCC TNM staging and the proposed TNM groupings are depicted in Fig. 1 and Table 3. Hazard ratios for adjusted overall survival based on the current AJCC TNM staging and the proposed TNM groupings are presented in Table 4.

Table 2 Characteristics of 191 patients with medullary thyroid carcinoma in Denmark 1997–2014.

Characteristics	n (%)
At diagnosis	
Age, mean (s.d.) (years)	53 (16) ^a
Sex	
Female	115 (60)
Male	76 (40)
MTC type	
Sporadic	149 (78)
Hereditary	42 (22)
T category	
T1	81 (42)
T2	52 (27)
T3	24 (13)
T4	34 (18)
N category	
N0	91 (48)
N1a	20 (10)
N1b	80 (42)
M category	
M0	182 (95)
M1	9 (5)
Current TNM staging ^b	
I	51 (27)
II	38 (20)
III	16 (8)
IV	86 (45)
Thyroid surgery	
Total thyroidectomy	188 (98)
Hemithyroidectomy	3 (2)

^aStandard deviation; ^bstaging was based on the American Joint Committee on Cancer 7th and 8th edition (22, 23).

M, metastasis; MTC, medullary thyroid carcinoma; N, node; s.d., standard deviation; T, tumor.

Figure 1

Overall and disease-specific survival in 191 patients with medullary thyroid carcinoma in Denmark 1997–2014 according to the current^a and proposed TNM staging system^b. M, metastasis; N, node; T, tumor. ^aStaging was based on the American Joint Committee on Cancer 7th and 8th edition (22, 23).

^bStaging was based on newly proposed groupings (2).

When staging was based on the current AJCC TNM staging system, adjusted overall survival did not differ significantly for stage I vs II ($P=0.662$), stage II vs III ($P=0.522$) or stage III vs IV ($P=0.082$). Meanwhile, when using the proposed TNM groupings, adjusted overall survival was significantly different for stage I vs II ($P=0.006$) and stage III vs IV ($P<0.001$), but not stage II vs III ($P=0.605$). Similar results were obtained after Bonferroni correction.

Discussion

In this nationwide study, we compared the current AJCC TNM staging system to the newly proposed TNM groupings and found that the latter provides better differentiation of mortality risk for stage I vs II and stage III vs IV.

Limitations

Our sample size is relatively small, providing lesser statistical power to detect differences in survival among

the stage groups. We cannot exclude that this was the case for stage II vs III under the proposed TNM groupings. However, it was no issue in the comparison of stage I vs II and stage III vs IV, as significant differences in survival were seen in both cases, even after Bonferroni correction.

Our adjusted analysis of survival did not include the covariates: annual income, insurance and hospital type seen in the study of the proposed TNM groupings (2).

Table 3 Five-year survival in 191 patients with medullary thyroid carcinoma in Denmark 1997–2014 according to the current^a and proposed TNM staging system^b.

Stage	Overall survival		Disease-specific survival	
	Current	Proposed	Current	Proposed
	5-year (95% CI)	5-year (95% CI)	5-year (95% CI)	5-year (95% CI)
I	92 (79–97)	94 (86–98)	100	99 (92–100)
II	95 (81–99)	81 (68–89)	97 (82–100)	88 (75–94)
III	94 (63–99)	61 (44–75)	94 (63–99)	71 (54–83)
IV	64 (53–73)	22 (3–51)	71 (60–80)	22 (3–51)

^aStaging was based on the American Joint Committee on Cancer 7th and 8th edition (22, 23); ^bstaging was based on newly proposed groupings (2). T, tumor; N, node; M, metastasis.

Table 4 Adjusted^a overall survival in 191 patients with medullary thyroid carcinoma in Denmark 1997–2014 according to the current^b and proposed TNM staging system^c.

Stage	Current	Proposed
	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)
I	1.00	1.00
II	1.32 (0.38–4.57)	3.04 (1.38–6.67)
III	2.06 (0.45–9.39)	3.59 (1.61–8.03)
IV	5.87 (2.02–17.01)	59.26 (20.53–171.02)
AIC ^d	508	489

^aAdjusted for age, sex and year of diagnosis; ^bstaging was based on the American Joint Committee on Cancer 7th and 8th edition (22, 23); ^cstaging was based on newly proposed groupings (2); ^dlowest AIC indicates best fitted statistical model.

AIC, Akaike information criterion; HR, hazard ratio; M, metastasis; N, node; T, tumor.

However, adjustment for these covariates in a Danish setting would seem redundant, partly because medical care is free for all inhabitants, treatment of thyroid cancer is centralized to university hospitals only and partly because income and insurance in earlier multivariate analysis have been reported as non-significant predictors of overall survival (30).

For the purpose of this study, our cohort was trimmed according to the study cohort of the investigation proposing the new TNM groupings. For example, the investigation excluded patients <18 years at diagnosis from their study cohort (2) although inclusion may have been an option (31). Similarly, we excluded this patient group from our cohort. Thus, along with the other exclusion criteria, the reproducibility of the proposed TNM groupings in an unselected MTC population may be compromised. However, when reanalyzing data for the 218 patients with pertinent data from our initial cohort of 220 unselected patients, we still find a significant difference in adjusted overall survival for stage I vs II ($P=0.014$) and stage III vs IV ($P<0.001$) under the proposed TNM groupings.

Survival

Our results of better survival discrimination for stage I vs II and stage III vs IV under the proposed TNM groupings are in agreement with the study proposing the new groupings (2). While better distinction between stage II and III under the proposed TNM groupings compared to the current AJCC TNM staging system may be somewhat dubious in our data set, it is indisputable for stage III vs IV. Presumably, this is explained by the fact that stage IV in the proposed TNM groupings only includes patients with distant metastases, while the current AJCC TNM staging

system also includes patients with T4 or N1b disease having no evidence of distant metastases (Table 1). Thus, the current AJCC TNM staging system seems to attenuate the significance of distant metastases, despite the fact that several population-based studies report distant metastases as one of the absolute strongest prognostic indicators for survival in multivariate analysis (7, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36).

In fact, stage IV has previously consisted of patients with distant metastases only (37) as in the proposed TNM groupings (2). This was changed in 2002 in the sixth edition of the AJCC TNM staging system, where stage IV was expanded to also include patients with T4 or N1b disease regardless of distant metastases status (38). Later an institutional study, investigating the survival of 173 MTC patients according to the fifth and sixth edition of the AJCC TNM staging system found that patients with stage III and IV disease had similar disease-free survival and overall survival under the sixth edition. This led to the conclusion that the sixth edition of the AJCC TNM staging system appeared inadequate, especially for patients with stage IV disease (3). Stage IV, however, has not been changed since 2002.

In our study, the proposed TNM groupings also demonstrated better survival distinction than the current AJCC TNM staging system for stage I vs II. This may be explained by the downstaging of small tumors (T1–2) with lateral neck metastases (N1b) from stage IV in the current AJCC TNM staging system to stage II in the proposed TNM groupings (Table 1).

Conclusion

The newly proposed TNM groupings appear to provide better survival discrimination in the nationwide Danish MTC cohort than the current AJCC TNM staging system. Adaption of the proposed TNM groupings by the current AJCC TNM staging system may potentially improve accurateness in survival discrimination. However, before such an adaption, further population-based studies securing external validity are needed.

Declaration of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that could be perceived as prejudicing the impartiality of the research reported.

Funding

This work was supported by the University of Southern Denmark, the Region of Southern Denmark, Odense University Hospital, Copenhagen

University Hospital, the Danish Cancer Society, the Danish Cancer Research Foundation and the A. P. Møller Foundation. The research salary of Ulla Feldt-Rasmussen is sponsored by an unrestricted research grant from the Novo Nordic Foundation.

Author contribution statement

J S Mathiesen conceived and coordinated the study, collected data, performed data analyses and drafted the manuscript. S Möller performed data analyses and drafted the manuscript. J P Kroustrup, P Vestergaard, P L Løgstrup, Å K Rasmussen, U Feldt-Rasmussen, S Schytte, S C Londero, H B Pedersen, C H Hahn, J Bentzen, M Gaustadnes, M Rossing, F C Nielsen, K Brixen and C Godballe participated in data collection, data analyses and drafting of the manuscript.

References

- Mathiesen JS, Kroustrup JP, Vestergaard P, Stochholm K, Poulsen PL, Rasmussen AK, Feldt-Rasmussen U, Schytte S, Londero SC, Pedersen HB, *et al.* Incidence and prevalence of sporadic and hereditary MTC in Denmark 1960–2014: a nationwide study. *Endocrine Connections* 2018 **7** 829–839. (<https://doi.org/10.1530/EC-18-0157>)
- Adam MA, Thomas S, Roman SA, Hyslop T & Sosa JA. Rethinking the current American Joint Committee on cancer TNM staging system for medullary thyroid cancer. *JAMA Surgery* 2017 **152** 869–876. (<https://doi.org/10.1001/jamasurg.2017.1665>)
- Boström SY, Grant CS, Thompson GB, Farley DR, Richards ML, Hoskin TL & Hay ID. Need for a revised staging consensus in medullary thyroid carcinoma. *Archives of Surgery* 2009 **144** 663–669. (<https://doi.org/10.1001/archsurg.2009.122>)
- Jin LX & Moley JF. Surgery for lymph node metastases of medullary thyroid carcinoma: a review. *Cancer* 2016 **122** 358–366. (<https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.29761>)
- Modigliani E, Cohen R, Campos JM, Conte-Devolx B, Maes B, Boneu A, Schlumberger M, Bigorgne JC, Dumontier P, Leclerc L, *et al.* Prognostic factors for survival and for biochemical cure in medullary thyroid carcinoma: results in 899 patients. The GETC Study Group. Groupe d'Etude des Tumeurs a Calcitonine. *Clinical Endocrinology* 1998 **48** 265–273. (<https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2265.1998.00392.x>)
- Raue F. German medullary thyroid carcinoma/multiple endocrine neoplasia registry. German MTC/MEN Study Group. Medullary Thyroid Carcinoma/Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia Type 2. *Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery* 1998 **383** 334–336. (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s004230050143>)
- Qu N, Shi RL, Luo TX, Wang YL, Li DS, Wang Y, Huang CP & Ji QH. Prognostic significance and optimal cutoff of age in medullary thyroid cancer. *Oncotarget* 2016 **7** 15937–15947. (<https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.7556>)
- Esfandiari NH, Hughes DT, Yin H, Banerjee M & Haymart MR. The effect of extent of surgery and number of lymph node metastases on overall survival in patients with medullary thyroid cancer. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2014 **99** 448–454. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2013-2942>)
- Machens A & Dralle H. Prognostic impact of N staging in 715 medullary thyroid cancer patients: proposal for a revised staging system. *Annals of Surgery* 2013 **257** 323–329. (<https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0b013e318268301d>)
- Tuttle RM & Ganly I. Risk stratification in medullary thyroid cancer: moving beyond static anatomic staging. *Oral Oncology* 2013 **49** 695–701. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oraloncology.2013.03.443>)
- Merkow RP, Rademaker AW & Bilimoria KY. Practical guide to surgical data sets: national cancer database (NCDB). *JAMA Surgery* 2018 **153** 850–851. (<https://doi.org/10.1001/jamasurg.2018.0492>)
- Doll KM, Rademaker A & Sosa JA. Practical guide to surgical data sets: surveillance, epidemiology, and end results (SEER) database. *JAMA Surgery* 2018 **153** 588–589. (<https://doi.org/10.1001/jamasurg.2018.0501>)
- Londero SC, Mathiesen JS, Kroghdal A, Bastholt L, Overgaard J, Bentsen J, Hahn CH, Schytte S, Pedersen HB, Christiansen P, *et al.* Completeness and validity in a national clinical thyroid cancer database: DATHYRCA. *Cancer Epidemiology* 2014 **38** 633–637. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canep.2014.07.009>)
- Gjerstorff ML. The Danish cancer registry. *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health* 2011 **39** 42–45. (<https://doi.org/10.1177/1403494810393562>)
- Bjerregaard B & Larsen OB. The Danish pathology register. *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health* 2011 **39** 72–74. (<https://doi.org/10.1177/1403494810393563>)
- Mathiesen JS, Kroustrup JP, Vestergaard P, Madsen M, Stochholm K, Poulsen PL, Krogh Rasmussen A, Feldt-Rasmussen U, Schytte S, Pedersen HB, *et al.* Incidence and prevalence of multiple endocrine neoplasia 2B in Denmark: a nationwide study. *Endocrine-Related Cancer* 2017 **24** L39–L42. (<https://doi.org/10.1530/ERC-17-0122>)
- Mathiesen JS, Stochholm K, Poulsen PL, Vestergaard EM, Christiansen P & Vestergaard P. Aggressive medullary thyroid carcinoma in a ten-year-old patient with multiple endocrine neoplasia 2B due to the A883F mutation. *Thyroid* 2015 **25** 139–140. (<https://doi.org/10.1089/thy.2014.0177>)
- Mathiesen JS, Habra MA, Bassett JHD, Choudhury SM, Balasubramanian SP, Howlett TA, Robinson BG, Gimenez-Roqueplo AP, Castinetti F, Vestergaard P, *et al.* Risk profile of the RET A883F germline mutation: an international collaborative study. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2017 **102** 2069–2074. (<https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2016-3640>)
- Godballe C, Jorgensen G, Gerdes AM, Kroghdal AS, Tybjaerg-Hansen A & Nielsen FC. Medullary thyroid cancer: RET testing of an archival material. *European Archives of Oto-rhino-laryngology* 2010 **267** 613–617. (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00405-009-1115-4>)
- Mathiesen JS, Dossing H, Bender L & Godballe C. Medullary thyroid carcinoma in a 10-month-old child with multiple endocrine neoplasia 2B. *Ugeskrift for Læger* 2014 **176** V07130456.
- Mathiesen JS, Kroustrup JP, Vestergaard P, Stochholm K, Poulsen PL, Rasmussen AK, Feldt-Rasmussen U, Gaustadnes M, Orntoft TF, van Overeem Hansen T, *et al.* Distribution of RET mutations in multiple endocrine neoplasia 2 in Denmark 1994–2014: a nationwide study. *Thyroid* 2017 **27** 215–223. (<https://doi.org/10.1089/thy.2016.0411>)
- Amin MB, Edge SB, Greene FL, Byrd DR, Brookland RK, Washington MK, Gershenwald JE, Compton CC, Hess KR, Sullivan DC, *et al.* *AJCC Cancer Staging Manual*, 8th ed. New York, NY: Springer, 2017.
- Edge SB, Byrd DR, Compton CC, Fritz AG, Greene FL & Trotti A. *AJCC Cancer Staging Manual*, 7th ed. New York, NY: Springer, 2010.
- Bland JM & Altman DG. Multiple significance tests: the Bonferroni method. *BMJ* 1995 **310** 170. (<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.310.6973.170>)
- Mathiesen JS, Kroustrup JP, Vestergaard P, Stochholm K, Poulsen PL, Rasmussen AK, Feldt-Rasmussen U, Gaustadnes M, Orntoft TF, Rossing M, *et al.* Founder effect of the RET(C611Y) mutation in multiple endocrine neoplasia 2A in Denmark: a nationwide study. *Thyroid* 2017 **27** 1505–1510. (<https://doi.org/10.1089/thy.2017.0404>)
- Sondergaard Pedersen JH & Schaffalitzky De Muckadell O. Choroidal metastases in multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2B. *Acta Ophthalmologica Scandinavica* 2007 **85** 120–121. (<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0420.2006.00669.x>)
- Hansen HS, Torring H, Godballe C, Jager AC & Nielsen FC. Is thyroidectomy necessary in RET mutations carriers of the familial medullary thyroid carcinoma syndrome? *Cancer* 2000 **89** 863–867. ([https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0142\(20000815\)89:4<863::AID-CNCR19>3.0.CO;2-Z](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0142(20000815)89:4<863::AID-CNCR19>3.0.CO;2-Z))

- 28 Emmertsen K. Screening for hereditary medullary cancer in Denmark. *Henry Ford Hospital Medical Journal* 1984 **32** 238–243.
- 29 Mathiesen JS, Kroustrup JP, Vestergaard P, Stochholm K, Poulsen PL, Krogh Rasmussen A, Feldt-Rasmussen U, Schytte S, Pedersen HB, Hahn CH, *et al.* Incidence and prevalence of multiple endocrine neoplasia 2A in Denmark 1901–2014: a nationwide study. *Clinical Epidemiology* 2018 **10** 1479–1487. (<https://doi.org/10.2147/CLEP.S174606>)
- 30 Youngwirth LM, Adam MA, Scheri RP, Roman SA & Sosa JA. Extrathyroidal extension is associated with compromised survival in patients with thyroid cancer. *Thyroid* 2017 **27** 626–631. (<https://doi.org/10.1089/thy.2016.0132>)
- 31 Youngwirth LM, Adam MA, Thomas SM, Roman SA, Sosa JA & Scheri RP. Pediatric thyroid cancer patients referred to high-volume facilities have improved short-term outcomes. *Surgery* 2018 **163** 361–366. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surg.2017.09.042>)
- 32 Cox C, Chen Y, Cress R, Semrad AM, Semrad T, Gosnell JE & Campbell MJ. Are there disparities in the presentation, treatment and outcomes of patients diagnosed with medullary thyroid cancer?—An analysis of 634 patients from the California Cancer Registry. *Gland Surgery* 2016 **5** 398–404. (<https://doi.org/10.21037/gs.2016.04.02>)
- 33 Roman S, Lin R & Sosa JA. Prognosis of medullary thyroid carcinoma: demographic, clinical, and pathologic predictors of survival in 1252 cases. *Cancer* 2006 **107** 2134–2142. (<https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.22244>)
- 34 Kuo EJ, Sho S, Li N, Zanicco KA, Yeh MW & Livhits MJ. Risk factors associated with reoperation and disease-specific mortality in patients with medullary thyroid carcinoma. *JAMA Surgery* 2017 **153** 52–59. (<https://doi.org/10.1001/jamasurg.2017.3555>)
- 35 Gilliland FD, Hunt WC, Morris DM & Key CR. Prognostic factors for thyroid carcinoma. A population-based study of 15,698 cases from the Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) program 1973–1991. *Cancer* 1997 **79** 564–573. ([https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0142\(19970201\)79:3<564::AID-CNCR20>3.0.CO;2-0](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0142(19970201)79:3<564::AID-CNCR20>3.0.CO;2-0))
- 36 Mathiesen JS, Kroustrup JP, Vestergaard P, Poulsen PL, Stochholm K, Rasmussen ÅK, Feldt-Rasmussen U, Schytte S, Londero SL, Pedersen HB, *et al.* Survival and long-term biochemical cure in medullary thyroid carcinoma in Denmark 1997–2014: a nationwide study. *Thyroid* 2019 [in press].
- 37 Fleming ID, Cooper JS, Henson DE, Hutter RVP, Kennedy BJ, Murphy GP, O'Sullivan B, Sobin LH & Yarbrow JW. *AJCC Cancer Staging Manual*, 5th ed. Philadelphia, PA, USA: Lippincott-Raven, 1997.
- 38 Greene FL, Page DL, Fleming ID, Fritz AG, Balch CM, Haller DG & Morrow M. *AJCC Cancer Staging Manual*, 6th ed. New York, NY, USA: Springer, 2002.

Received in final form 23 November 2018

Accepted 14 December 2018

Accepted Preprint published online 14 December 2018