Bone metabolism in Langerhans cell histiocytosis
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Abstract

Langerhans cell histiocytosis (LCH) is a rare disease of not well-defined etiology that involves immune cell activation and frequently affects the skeleton. Bone involvement in LCH usually presents in the form of osteolytic lesions along with low bone mineral density. Various molecules involved in bone metabolism are implicated in the pathogenesis of LCH or may be affected during the course of the disease, including interleukins (ILs), tumor necrosis factor α, receptor activator of NF-κB (RANK) and its soluble ligand RANKL, osteoprotegerin (OPG), periostin and sclerostin. Among them IL-17A, periostin and RANKL have been proposed as potential serum biomarkers for LCH, particularly as the interaction between RANK, RANKL and OPG not only regulates bone homeostasis through its effects on the osteoclasts but also affects the activation and survival of immune cells. Significant changes in circulating and lesional RANKL levels have been observed in LCH patients irrespective of bone involvement. Standard LCH management includes local or systematic administration of corticosteroids and chemotherapy. Given the implication of RANK, RANKL and OPG in the pathogenesis of the disease and the osteolytic nature of bone lesions, agents aiming at inhibiting the RANKL pathway and/or osteoclastic activation, such as bisphosphonates and denosumab, may have a role in the therapeutic approach of LCH although further clinical investigation is warranted.
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Introduction

Langerhans cell histiocytosis (LCH) is a predominantly pediatric disease with an annual incidence ranging from 2 to 9 cases per million while it is even more rarely encountered in adults, with an estimated annual incidence of approximately 1 case per 560,000 inhabitants (1, 2, 3). It is considered as an ‘orphan disease’ due to the paucity of information regarding its pathogenesis, clinical course, management and long-term prognosis. The adult form of the disease can develop at any age with a mean age of 33 years at diagnosis and may exert a different course than in children (2).
40% of adults while in children a painful bone lesion is the most usual manifestation with skin being the second most commonly involved organ (2, 5).

The aim of the present review is to provide an update on the skeletal manifestations of LCH, focusing on the lesional and systemic alterations of factors involved in bone metabolism, and the potential therapeutic implications for both bone and multisystem LCH management.

**LCH pathogenesis**

LCH is characterized by clonal proliferation and dissemination of specific dendritic cells (DCs) resembling normal epidermal Langerhans cells (LCs) that exhibit positive immunohistochemistry for cluster designation 1a (CD1a), Langerin (CD207) and S100 protein (Fig. 1) (6, 7, 8). It is has been proposed to be either an inflammatory or a neoplastic disorder with the latter to be favored by most experts; however, the pathogenesis of the disease remains largely unknown, whereas another distinct feature of the disease is that it may resolve spontaneously. Altered expression of cytokines and cellular adhesion molecules important for the migration and homing of LCs has been described (9). On the other hand, the infiltration of organs by a monoclonal cell population and the discovery of v-Raf murine sarcoma viral oncogene homolog B (BRAF) mutations in LCH lesions point toward a neoplastic rather than an inflammatory nature of the disease (1, 10). A combining hypothesis considers LCH to be an inflammatory neoplasia as LCH cells harboring oncogenic mutations proliferate and accumulate in LCH lesions recruiting and activating inflammatory cells, including T-lymphocytes, macrophages, plasma cells, eosinophils, neutrophils, natural killer cells and osteoclast-like multinucleated giant cells (MGCs) (11, 12). These infiltrating cells produce a variety of cytokines and chemokines that stimulate each other and lead to a cytokine ‘storm’ that facilitates the recruitment of LCH cells progenitors as well as their maturation and inhibits their apoptosis. Multiple cytokines released in LCH lesions lead to local amplification cascades of cellular proliferation and activation through autocrine and paracrine stimulatory pathways (13). Granulocyte/macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), interleukin-1 (IL-1) and tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α) play an important role in the maturation and migration of LCs (14). In addition, IL-2, IL-3, IL-4, IL-6, IL-7, IL-10, interferon-γ, CD-40 ligand, receptor activator of nuclear factor κB (NF-κB) ligand (RANKL), osteoprotegerin (OPG), IL-17 and soluble IL-2 receptor have been found to be increased in both the serum and the LCH lesions (9, 15, 16, 17, 18). Particularly macrophage colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF) and RANKL have been proposed to stimulate the fusion of normal dendritic cells in forming osteoclast-like MGCs that are present in osseous and non-osseous lesions and produce enzymes that play a major role in tissue destruction (19, 20).

IL-1α, IL-1β and TNF-α are abundantly expressed in these lesions and may synergistically enhance osteoclastic activity leading to the development of osteolytic LCH bone lesions. Furthermore, interferon-γ (IFN-γ) may enhance the osteolytic capacity of LCH cells through increased release of IL-1 (13, 15). Fibrosis developed in later stages during the LCH course could be attributed to the lesional production of transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β), which is considered to be a potent sclerosing agent (21).

Recent genomic studies have identified somatic mutations in mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway genes and specifically, BRAF V600E mutations that account for around 50% of cases. Mutations in the mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 1 (MAP2K1) and v-Raf murine sarcoma viral oncogene homolog A (ARAF) genes have also been recently associated with LCH (22). The identification of these genomic changes has led to
the introduction of agents, such as vemurafenib and trametinib, which target the proteins encoded by these genes, as new treatment options (23, 24). In addition, some studies have implicated the expression of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), Bcl-2 family proteins, Fas-signaling and E-cadherin-beta-catenin-Wnt signaling pathways in the pathogenesis of LCH (25, 26, 27).

**Bone metabolism and inflammation in LCH**

The interaction between the skeletal and immune system has long been recognized since the two systems share a lot of regulatory mechanisms including cytokines, signaling molecules, receptors and transcription factors (28).

Osteoclasts are multinucleated cells derived from macrophage/monocyte precursors that are specialized in bone degradation (29). As DCs and osteoclasts originate from the same myeloid precursor, common factors usually regulate their function (30). Osteoblasts, the principal bone-forming cells, and osteocytes stimulate osteoclast formation, differentiation and activation mainly by secreting RANKL, which binds to the receptor activator of nuclear factor-κB (RANK) on the surface of osteoclast precursors and mature osteoclasts leading to their activation (31). Additionally, osteoblasts produce M-CSF, thereby amplifying the effect of RANKL on osteoclastogenesis. Furthermore, both osteoblasts and osteocytes secrete a decoy receptor, OPG, which binds to RANKL and blocks its actions, thus controlling osteoclastic bone resorption (32). RANK, RANKL and OPG are members of the TNF superfamily.

Besides bone, RANK and RANKL are also expressed by various cells of the immune system, as RANKL is found on T and B cells and RANK on DCs, macrophages and monocytes. The interplay between T cells and DCs through RANK/RANKL interaction ameliorates the growth and activation of T cells and enhances the activation and survival of DCs rendering them more efficient antigen presenters (33, 34, 35).

In LCH patients, giant osteoclast-like multinucleated cells have been observed in osseous and non-osseous lesions that can be activated by M-CSF and RANKL expressed in T cells or LCH cells (20). In children with active LCH serum RANKL/OPG ratio was positively correlated with osteolytic activity (36). In a recent study, high serum OPG and low serum RANKL levels have been found in LCH patients with and without bone involvement, irrespectively of the disease activity, extent, duration and treatment. It was speculated that a shift of circulating RANKL in LCH lesions with a concomitant increase in cell-bound concentrations and a compensatory increase in OPG, which acts as a circulating decoy receptor could be responsible for these findings (16). In accordance with this hypothesis, immunohistochemical staining of LCH lesions has revealed abundant expression of RANKL in pathological LCs and/or other cells within osseous and non-osseous lesions (37). In addition, RANKL was associated with concomitant activation of NF-κB, which is the main downstream effector of RANKL signaling, suggesting that RANKL may cause local cell activation (37). LCH has been associated with a tendency for inflammation-related bone loss (38). Cytokine production in LCH lesions increases bone turnover and accelerates the rate of bone loss (28, 39). It has been speculated that DCs differentiate into osteoclast-like cells or that RANKL-expressing CD4+ T cells directly cause osteoclastogenesis and bone loss (40, 41). Indeed, a recent study in mice showed that in vivo ablation of LCs was associated with increased bone resorption secondary to an increased number of RANKL-expressing CD4+ T cells (30). Therefore, LCs are considered to display a protective role in bone tissue homeostasis, which is probably lost in pathological LCH cells. In addition, bone loss can be attributed to the conventional treatment with glucocorticoids or chemotherapy as well as to anterior pituitary hormone deficiencies (42, 43). Periostin is a secreted extracellular matrix protein expressed in collagen-rich connective tissues. Through its interaction with cell-surface integrins, periostin plays a variety of roles in tissue remodeling after injury and tumor development (44). In bones, periostin serves both as a structural molecule of the bone matrix and a signaling molecule that stimulates osteoblast functions and bone formation through inhibition of sclerostin production and subsequent Wnt/β-catenin pathway stimulation (45). In postmenopausal women, periostin serum levels have been associated with increased fracture risk, independently of bone mineral density, suggesting an effect on the organic rather than the mineral component of the bone (46, 47). In addition, circulating periostin has been explored as a potential biomarker in several diseases such as in asthma and various cancer types (48). Adult patients with active LCH were reported to have lower serum periostin levels than controls independently of the presence of bone involvement and of bone markers of osteoblastic or osteoclastic activity (49). Furthermore, patients with active disease had lower periostin levels compared to those with inactive disease independently of the site of involvement, extent of disease or treatment.
administered, suggesting that serum periostin could serve as a biomarker for LCH activity.

Sclerostin is a small protein, produced mainly by the osteocytes, that inhibits osteoblastic bone formation through downregulation of the Wnt/β-catenin pathway (50). In the same study reporting the periostin levels in LCH patients, no difference in sclerostin levels between LCH patients and controls was observed while LCH patients were lacking the typical inverse correlation between sclerostin and periostin, suggesting a bone-independent mechanism driving the serum periostin decrease (49). Therefore, lowering of periostin levels in LCH could represent a protective mechanism against disease-induced tissue changes in order to prevent fibrosis although further investigation is warranted (49, 51).

**Skeletal manifestations in LCH**

Osseous involvement in LCH presents mainly in the form of osteolytic lesions (2). It could present as a single lytic lesion either isolated or as part of multisystem disease or as multiple bone lesions in the context of single-system LCH or as part of a multisystem disease. The majority of lesions are asymptomatic but painful swelling leading to significant morbidity as well as pathologic fractures may occur. On physical examination, a soft and sensitive protuberance can be detected. Lytic skull lesions and jaw lesions are frequently observed while vertebrae, ribs, pelvic bones and proximal long bones can also be affected (52). Lytic skull lesions are the most frequent finding in children while in adults the most commonly affected site is the mandible (Fig. 2), whereas skull lesions are not always lytic. Intracranial extension or impingement on the dura can be developed. Patients with involvement of the central nervous system (CNS) ‘risk’ bones (skull base, maxillofacial bones, orbital bones) are at greater risk for development of diabetes insipidus and CNS infiltration. In addition, depending on the location of the lesions, otitis media, orbital proptosis or tooth loss due to mandible infiltration may occur. Occasionally, osteolytic lesions can lead to fractures or vertebral collapse and spinal cord compression (1, 2, 53).

Imaging characteristics differ according to the stage of the disease. During the active phase, plain radiographs (Fig. 2) can reveal single or multiple lytic lesions with poorly defined margins. Medullary destruction, cortical erosion and a periosteal reaction could be observed (54, 55). Later on during the course of the disease, remodeling of the lesion begins and a sclerotic reaction could be seen. On MRI, tissues surrounding the lesion may appear edematous (Fig. 2). Radionuclide bone scanning has been proposed to evaluate the extent of skeletal involvement, but its sensitivity is lower than that of the x-rays (54, 55). Fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) positron emission tomography (PET) scanning has recently been used for the evaluation of patients with LCH (Fig. 2) and has been proved to be highly sensitive in identifying active bone lesions (56).

In a recent study, 20% of adult patients with LCH had bone mineral density (BMD) below the expected age range. All patients over 50 years of age had osteopenia or osteoporosis, whereas patients with active disease...
have lower BMD compared to controls and patients with inactive disease (38).

Although the radiographic appearance of LCH lesions is sometimes characteristic and thus frequently suggested in the radiology reports, the diagnosis should be solely based on histopathologic and immunophenotypic examination of a lesional biopsy. In biopsy specimens from active lesions, diffuse sheets of LCH cells and bone destruction are observed (8). The LCs are antigen-presenting cells that stain positive for CD1a and/or Langerin but pathologic LCs are immature cells that proliferate moderately and present antigens inefficiently. In LCH lesions, LCH cells, macrophages, eosinophils and T-lymphocytes are observed while multinucleated osteoclastic giant cells can also be observed (8). In addition, infoldings of the cell membrane form intracytoplasmic structures that are specific for LCs and are called Birbeck granules (57, 58). Immunological staining for Langerin or CD1a or presence of Birbeck granules on electronic microscopy in combination with clinical-pathological evidence on microscopic examination are required for definite LCH diagnosis (4). Rarely, plasma cells or bone cyst formation can be observed (8).

Treatment strategies in LCH bone lesions

Recommended management

In patients with single-system LCH and unifocal bone involvement of non-CNS-risk bones local therapy or follow-up can be recommended. Intraleisional corticosteroid injection, low-dose irradiation or surgical curettage have been used. However, complete surgical excision is not always recommended as it may sometimes increase the healing time and/or leave a large bone deficit that would be difficult to be filled. Systemic chemotherapy is administered in case of multisystem disease or single-system disease with multiple lesions or involvement of high-risk organs (CNS, liver, spleen, bone marrow) (4). A recent study has reported that cytosine arabinoside (ARA-C) is the most effective and least toxic regimen for treatment of LCH bone lesions (59). However, due to the increased incidence of adverse effects with chemotherapy, less toxic approaches such as antiresorptive agents are often considered, especially when there is solely or predominantly skeletal involvement (37, 60).

Bisphosphonates are chemical analogs of pyrophosphates that inhibit osteoclast activity and reduce bone resorption (61). They have been successfully used as a therapeutic and preventive treatment strategy in management of patients with bone metastases from various tumors (62). Their beneficial effect in LCH bone lesions was first reported in 1989 when clodronate was used to treat multifocal eosinophilic granuloma of bone (63). Subsequently, several case reports confirmed the effectiveness of bisphosphonates in treating bone disease in patients with LCH (64, 65).

In a Japanese survey, pamidronate resulted in the resolution of bone lesions in 75% of children with reactivated LCH (66). In a recent study, in both children and adults, bisphosphonates significantly improved bone pain and restored functional status without significant adverse effects (60). Complete remission of active bone LCH was observed in 92% of the patients. These outcomes were not related to the type or the dose of the agent used. More importantly, bisphosphonates, especially pamidronate, have been proved to be beneficial in cases of non-ostotic LCH such as skin and soft tissue lesions (60, 67). The most common adverse effects of bisphosphonates are hypocalcemia and acute phase reaction while a rare but clinically significant adverse effect is osteonecrosis of the jaw (62, 68). No serious adverse effects have been reported in patients with LCH treated with bisphosphonates (60).

Novel treatment approaches

Denosumab, an antibody targeting RANKL, has been used as a treatment in osteoporosis and has recently been approved for diminishing the risk of skeletal-related events in patients with bone metastases from solid tumors (69, 70). In addition, there is expanding evidence that denosumab has anti-tumor effect either by changing the bone microenvironment or via RANKL inhibition in non-cancerous cells like immune cells (35). As patients with and without bone involvement have high OPG and low RANKL serum levels (16), and RANKL is highly expressed in active LCH lesions concomitantly with activation of p56 NF-κB (37), the inhibition of RANKL could benefit not just skeletal but all cases of LCH regardless of the lesions location (37, 71). This hypothesis was tested and confirmed in two patients with multisystem LCH in whom the administration of denosumab resulted in almost full resolution of bone and lung lesions with concomitant rapid and significant remission of pain (6). The dose used was 120 mg every other month with a total of four doses. No adverse effects were developed during denosumab treatment while no relapse was observed during the 6 months that followed the last denosumab
injection. A phase II trial is currently on-going aiming to verify these findings in a larger number of LCH patients (NCT03270020).

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, LCH is a rare disease with frequent skeletal involvement. Various aspects of bone metabolism are implicated in the pathogenesis of LCH or are affected during the course of the disease. Significant changes of serum and lesional RANKL levels have been observed in LCH patients with and without bone involvement. Antiresorptive agents such as bisphosphonates and denosumab have a role in the therapeutic approach of LCH bone involvement although further clinical investigation is warranted.
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