Evaluation of lipid profile and its relationship with blood pressure in patients with Cushing’s disease
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Abstract

Introduction: The purpose of the study was to describe lipid profile and explore pathogenetic role of LDL-c on hypertension in patients with Cushing’s disease (CD). Hypertension is a common feature in patients with CD. Previous study found low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-c) uptake in vascular cells might be involved in vascular remodeling in patients with CD. Therefore, we evaluated the relationship between lipid profile and the blood pressure in patients with CD.

Methods: This retrospective study included 84 patients referred to Huashan Hospital for the evaluation and diagnosis of CD from January 2012 to December 2013. All subjects had detailed clinical evaluation by the same group of endocrinology specialists to avoid subjective influences.

Results: We found that high LDL-c patients had significant higher body mass index (BMI), systolic blood pressure (SBP), cholesterol (CHO), triglyceride (TG), and apolipoproteinB (apoB) (P<0.05). An association was detected between SBP values and lipids profile including CHO, TG, LDL-c, apolipoproteinA (apoA), apoB and lipoprotein(a) (LP(a)). After adjustment for all covariates, the LDL-c remained positively associated with SBP. In patients with or without taking statins, patients with LDL-c ≥3.37 mmol/L had higher SBP than patients with LDL-c <3.37 mmol/L. Then, LDL-c was coded using restricted cubic splines (RCS) function with three knots located at the 5th, 50th and 95th percentiles of the distribution of LDL-c. Compared to individuals with 3.215 mmol/L of LDL-c, individuals with 4.0, 4.5 and 5.0 mmol/L of LDL-c had differences of 3.86, 8.53 and 14.11 mmHg in SBP, respectively.

Conclusions: An independent association between LDL-c and SBP was found in patients with CD. We speculate that LDL-c may be a pathogenic factor for hypertension in those patients.

Introduction

Hypertension is a common chronic condition in patients with Cushing’s disease (CD) and may be the first sign (1). The pathogenesis of glucocorticoid-induced hypertension is not fully understood. It is thought to be related in part...
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to a state of mineralocorticoid excess as well as effects of cortisol on the peripheral vasculature. Exposure to excess cortisol can lead to increased cardiac output and increased peripheral and renovascular resistance (2). Chronic hypertension places patients at an increased risk for cardiovascular morbidity.

Glucocorticoids cause hypertension through several mechanisms (3): mineralocorticoid activity of cortisol; activation of the renin-angiotensin system (RAS); enhancement of cardiovascular reactivity to vasoconstrictors (catecholamines, vasopressin and angiotensin 2); increased adrenergic receptor sensitivity to catecholamines; suppression of the vasodilatory systems (NO synthase, prostacyclin and kinin-kallikrein); increased cardiac output, total peripheral resistance and renovascular resistance; insulin resistance and sleep apnea.

In adult patients with Cushing’s syndrome (CS), hypertension is present in 70–85% of patients; after surgical treatment, approximately 30% of adults have persistent hypertension (2). Lodish found that blood pressure in pediatric patients with CS was positively correlated with urinary free cortisol (UFC) and midnight cortisol before operation (4).

Despite the decrease in blood pressure values after surgical cure, a portion of patients remained hypertensive 1 year after operation. These previous data suggest that residual hypertension in patients with CS may be due in part to vascular remodeling (5).

Large artery stiffening in patients with isolated systolic hypertension (ISH) may relate to alterations in the extracellular matrix, changes in smooth muscle content, endothelial injury and atherosclerotic lesion formation. Favorable alterations in any of these variables could bring about improvements in arterial stiffness (6).

Vascular remodeling is a possible consequence of hypertension due to glucocorticoid excess. Hypertrophic changes in the morphology of small-resistance arteries (increased media to lumen ratio, media thickness and wall thickness) have been described in patients with CS. Increased vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), a potent angiogenic factor, has been reported to be responsible for vasculature remodeling in various experimental models of glucocorticoid excess. Hyperinsulinemia, impaired insulin signaling and insulin/insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF1) receptors hybrid formation have been claimed to play a role in vasculature smooth muscle cell dysfunction. Glucocorticoids can activate the RAS (7), and angiotensin 2 was found to upregulate LDL receptor-related protein 1 (LRP1) expression, which could aggregate low-density lipoprotein (LDL) uptake in vascular cells (8). This vascular remodeling and dysfunction may contribute to the aggravation of hypertension associated with hypercortisolism.

Intensive cholesterol reduction may be beneficial in the treatment of patients with hypertension and normal lipid levels, through a reduction in large artery stiffness. Ferrier found an additional statin therapy led to a greater reduction in systolic blood pressure (SBP), mean arterial pressure (MAP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) (6).

However, the relationship between plasma lipids and hypertension in patients with CD has not been investigated. Therefore, we described lipid profile and explored pathogenetic role of LDL-c on hypertension in patients with CD.

Materials and methods

Study population

This retrospective study included 84 patients referring to the endocrine departments of Huashan Hospital for diagnosis and evaluation of CD from January 2012 to December 2013. Seventy females and fourteen males were enrolled. All subjects had detailed clinical evaluation by the same group of endocrinology specialists to avoid the subjective influences. Consent has been obtained from each patient or subject after full explanation of the purpose and nature of all procedures used. Our study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Huashan Hospital, Fudan University (No. 2017M-011).

Diagnostic methods

The 24-h UFC excretion, the overnight 1 mg dexamethasone suppression test (DST) and cortisol secretion circadian rhythm were used as the first-line screen tests to identify patients with CS after exclusion of exogenous glucocorticoids exposure, cyclical Cushing’s syndrome (CCS) and other complications (e.g. infection, tumor). The diagnostic criteria included (1) elevated levels of 24-h UFC (average of at least 2 samples); (2) failure of plasma cortisol decrease <5 µg/dL after overnight 1 mg DST and (3) disorder of serum cortisol secretion circadian rhythm (samples collected at 00:00, 08:00, 16:00). Plasma and free urinary cortisol levels were performed by radioimmunoassay (RIA) (Roche Diagnostic). Adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH)-dependent CS was diagnosed based on an unsuppressed ACTH level. Plasma
ACTH was measured in an automated chemiluminescence immunoassay (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics). CD was clinically diagnosed by lesion ≥6 mm in pituitary magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan or positive results in inferior petrosal sinus sampling (IPSS) selectively performed in patients with negative image in MRI or mass less than 6 mm. CD was then confirmed pathologically after transsphenoidal surgery (positive immunohistochemistry staining with ACTH). The intraassay and interassay coefficients of variation were 5% and 10%, respectively.

Clinical and biochemical methods

Body mass index (BMI) and blood pressure were measured at the same condition at diagnosis. Hemoglobin, plasma potassium (K⁺), hepatic function, creatinine, hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), lipid profile and gonadal hormone were evaluated by standard methods.

At all time points, a detailed medical history was obtained, and a complete physical examination was performed, including measurements of weight, height by stadiometer and blood pressure. Blood pressure was taken in all patients twice a day using appropriate size cuffs and BMI was calculated using the formula weight (kg)/height² (m²).

Statistical analysis

Data are presented as median (range), unless otherwise indicated. Pearson correlation coefficients were used for correlation analyses. Linear regression was used to build prediction models of SBP from each predictor of interest respectively (cholesterol (CHO), triglyceride (TG), high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-c), LDL-cholesterol (LDL-c), apolipoproteinA1c (HbA1c), lipid profile and gonadal hormone were evaluated by standard methods.

At all time points, a detailed medical history was obtained, and a complete physical examination was performed, including measurements of weight, height by stadiometer and blood pressure. Blood pressure was taken in all patients twice a day using appropriate size cuffs and BMI was calculated using the formula weight (kg)/height² (m²).

The mean ± s.d. values of the SBP of 84 patients with CD are shown in Table 3. After adjustment for all covariates including age, gender, BMI, plasma K⁺, F, HbA1c and number of anti-hypertensive drugs, the LDL-c remained positively associated with SBP.

The mean ± S.D. values of the SBP of 84 patients with CD are shown in Fig. 2. In 67 patients without taking statins, patients with LDL-c <3.37 had significantly lower SBP than patients with LDL-c ≥3.37, 132.7 ± 16.1 mmHg and 141.5 ± 15.1 mmHg (P<0.05). In 17 patients taking statins,

Results

A total of 84 patients were enrolled in the study. Of these 84 patients, 65 patients had hypertension. In patients with hypertension, 53 took anti-hypertensive therapy (Fig. 1). The ratio of treated controlled and uncontrolled hypertension was 46/53 and 7/53. Demographic and clinical characteristics in patients with CD are shown in Table 1. No significant differences were found between LDL-c <3.37 mmol/L and LDL-c ≥3.37 mmol/L group in age, F, ACTH, 24-h UFC, K⁺, HbA1c and HDL-c. High LDL-c patients had significant higher BMI, SBP, TG, CHO and higher apoB level between two groups (P<0.05).

An association was detected between SBP values and lipid profile including CHO (r=0.309, P=0.005), TG (r=0.306, P=0.005), LDL-c (r=0.275, P=0.012), apoA (r=0.051, P=0.653), apoB (r=0.366, P=0.001) and LP(a) (r=-0.205, P=0.067). We determined to further explore the association between SBP values and lipid profile when covariates adjusted. When F, ACTH, UFC, BMI, HbA1c and course of the disease were adjusted, significant association was still detected (Table 2).

Multivariate regression analyses of the association between SBP and lipid profile are shown in Table 3. After adjustment for all covariates including age, gender, BMI, plasma K⁺, F, HbA1c and number of anti-hypertensive drugs, the LDL-c remained positively associated with SBP.

The mean ± 5.D. values of the SBP of 84 patients with CD are shown in Fig. 2. In 67 patients without taking statins, patients with LDL-c <3.37 had significantly lower SBP than patients with LDL-c ≥3.37, 132.7 ± 16.1 mmHg and 141.5 ± 15.1 mmHg (P<0.05). In 17 patients taking statins,
patients with LDL-c <3.37 also had significantly lower SBP than patients with LDL-c ≥3.37, 139.3 ± 13.2 mmHg and 154.6 ± 13.2 mmHg (P<0.05).

LDL-c was coded using an RCS function with three knots located at the 5th, 50th and 95th percentiles of the distribution of LDL-c (Fig. 3). Y-axis represents the difference in SBP between individuals with any value of LDL-c with individuals with 3.215 mmol/L of LDL-c. Dashed lines are 95 per cent confidence intervals (CI). Knots are represented by dots.

Compared to individuals with 3.215 mmol/L of LDL-c, individuals with 4.0, 4.5 and 5.0 mmol/L of LDL-c had differences of 3.86 (95% CI: 1.02–6.71), 8.53 (95% CI: 3.10–13.96), 14.11 (95% CI: 5.31–22.91) mmHg in SBP, respectively. We speculated possible threshold level of LDL-c for hypertension was 3.215 mmol/L.

**Discussion**

This study presented a potential mechanism of hypertension in CD. In this study, there was no association found between blood pressure and F, ACTH or serum glucose level in CD patients. However, SBP in CD was significantly related with lipid profile (CHO, TG, LDL-c and apoB) independent of multiple covariates. As expected, patients with LDL-c < 3.37 had significantly lower SBP than patients with LDL-c ≥3.37, 139.3 ± 13.2 mmHg and 154.6 ± 13.2 mmHg (P<0.05).
the results strongly suggested that CHO, LDL-c and apoB might predict hypertension more precisely in CD.

Arterial hypertension is a common feature in CD. The hypertension of endogenous hypercortisolism is characterized by disruption of the blood pressure circadian rhythm, with loss of the physiologically observed nocturnal fall. However, hypertension may persist in patients after surgical cure, presumably because of vessel remodeling and/or underlying essential hypertension (1). Multiple mechanisms have been proved to be involved in the development of hypertension in CD, including the RAS, mineralocorticoid activity, sympathetic nervous system, vasoregulatory system, metabolic factor, vascular factor and sleep apnea as such (2). On the other hand, there is an increase in circulating very low-density lipoprotein (VLDL) and LDL, but not HDL, with consequent elevation of TG and CHO levels in CD, which may account for hypertension as well. The mechanisms for hyperlipidemia are probably multifactorial, including direct cortisol influences on lipoprotein synthesis, free fatty acid production and hepatic endothelial lipase activity (9). Moreover, the causes of hypertension in CD such as angiotensin 2 (8), insulin resistance (9) and sleep apnea (10) also play important roles in the determination of lipid abnormalities.

During the past decades, multiple studies have presented the relationship between hypertension and lip profile in non-CD population (11, 12, 13). In the Physicians’ Health Study, CHO, non-HDL-c and the CHO/HDL-c ratio predicted onset of hypertension in 3110 men without self-reported hypertension, indicating that hypertension might be a consequence of dyslipidemia or closely related metabolic abnormalities (14). Additionally, TG and apoB were also shown to be positively associated with increased risk of hypertension in middle-aged Finnish men (15). Greater risk of hypertension was demonstrated associated with higher total concentrations of LDL and HDL particles, especially small particles, and higher total concentration of VLDL, especially large particles (16). In Nigerians and Bangladesh, patients with hypertension were more likely than normotensive patients to exhibit dyslipidemia, including elevated CHO, LDL-c, TG and reduced HDL-c levels (17, 18). However, previous studies did not accentuate these interrelated variables (CHO, LDL-c and apoB) and their relationship with SBP per se, in CD particularly.

SBP is an integrated measure of steady and pulsatile pressure load. In young adults, higher SBP may be attributable to increased cardiac output (non-obese patients particularly) (19). In middle-aged and older

Table 3  Accuracy of the prediction of SBP from CHO, TG, HDL-c, LDL-c, apoA, apoB and LP(a) adjusting other covariates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CHO β (s.e.)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>HDL-c β (s.e.)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>LDL-c β (s.e.)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>TG β (s.e.)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>ApoA β (s.e.)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>ApoB β (s.e.)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>LP(a) β (s.e.)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>4.3 (1.5)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>-1.1 (5.2)</td>
<td>0.832</td>
<td>4.7 (1.9)</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>5.1 (1.8)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>3.5 (7.6)</td>
<td>0.653</td>
<td>21.2 (6.1)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-0.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>4.1 (1.5)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>2.6 (5.4)</td>
<td>0.626</td>
<td>4.6 (1.8)</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>4.1 (1.9)</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>4.9 (7.5)</td>
<td>0.509</td>
<td>18.9 (6.3)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-0.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3</td>
<td>4.1 (1.4)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>2.3 (5.3)</td>
<td>0.663</td>
<td>4.9 (1.9)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>3.3 (2.0)</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>5.4 (7.4)</td>
<td>0.466</td>
<td>19.3 (6.2)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>-0.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4</td>
<td>4.1 (1.5)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>2.3 (5.4)</td>
<td>0.665</td>
<td>4.9 (1.9)</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>3.3 (2.0)</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>5.6 (7.5)</td>
<td>0.451</td>
<td>19.2 (6.3)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>-0.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5</td>
<td>5.5 (1.6)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>9.7 (5.7)</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>6.0 (2.0)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>2.5 (2.3)</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>12.2 (8.1)</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>20.9 (6.7)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>-0.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M6</td>
<td>4.1 (1.5)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>7.8 (4.9)</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>4.6 (1.9)</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>2.7 (4.5)</td>
<td>0.548</td>
<td>11.5 (6.9)</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>15.9 (6.0)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>-0.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M7</td>
<td>3.7 (1.5)</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>7.3 (4.9)</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>4.1 (1.9)</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>-0.2 (2.1)</td>
<td>0.929</td>
<td>10.1 (7.0)</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>14.2 (6.3)</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>-0.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M8</td>
<td>3.6 (1.6)</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>8.6 (5.0)</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>4.0 (2.0)</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>-0.7 (2.2)</td>
<td>0.758</td>
<td>12.3 (7.2)</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>14.1 (6.8)</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>-0.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.553</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M1 is a regression model including just plasma lipid respectively; M2 adds age, gender and BMI to the predictors of M1; M3 adds plasma K+ to the predictors of M2; M4 adds F to the predictors of M3; M5 adds HbA1c to the predictors of M4; M6 adds number of anti-hypertensive drugs to the predictors of M5; M7 adds statin use to the predictors of M6; M8 adds course of the disease to the predictors of M7. Values are unstandardized coefficients and STD errors (in brackets).

Figure 2  Relationship between SBP (mm Hg) and LDL-c (mmol/L) level stratified by statins use in patients with CD (*P<0.05).
adults, increased aortic stiffness and reduced aortic diameter may lead to mismatch between pressure and flow (20). On the other hand, DBP is representative of resistant vessel structure and function alterations (21). As to our study, dyslipidemia including CHO, LDL-c and apoB may contribute to vessel stiffness and consequently lead to high SBP other than DBP.

Postulated mechanisms for the relationship between hypertension and dyslipidemia in CD have not been fully clarified. First of all, endothelial dysfunction likely plays a role. As mentioned, glucocorticoids can activate the RAS (7), which is an important mechanism of hypertension in CD. Moreover, angiotensin 2 was found to upregulate LRP1 expression, which could aggregate LDL uptake in vascular cells (8), and LDL-c has been shown to increase peripheral vascular resistance and arterial stiffness thus to arterial hypertension (15). One of the mechanisms that LDL-c affects blood pressure has been shown through angiotensin 2 type 1 (AT1) receptor. Multiple studies found that LDL-c led to a profound increase of AT1 receptor expression in vascular smooth muscle cells, which was involved in endothelial dysfunction (22, 23), and LDL reduction by simvastatin was accompanied by AT1 receptor downregulation (24). Besides, dyslipidemia and others related to insulin resistance, a feature of CD, have been reported associated with decreased arterial compliance of the carotid artery (25). Secondly, Brinkley observed that higher ox-LDLs were related to higher LDL and described higher arterial stiffness in the group with higher ox-LDL values (26). All of hyperglycemia, hyperinsulinemia and hypertension in CD patients can induce oxidative stress, release oxygen free radicals from inflammatory cells and then promote the oxidation of LDL to ox-LDL. Glucose can also directly react with LDL phospholipids and apoB lysine groups to form the advanced glycation end products (AGEs) that facilitate lipid peroxidation (27). Thirdly, nuclear hormone receptor family, liver X receptor (LXR, a potential regulator of renin expression which is involved in CD), may be a mediator. LXRs is physiologically activated during lipid loading, and the expression and activation of LXRa inside the atherosclerotic plaque have been described (28). These studies have suggested a cross-talk between lipid metabolism disorders and blood pressure regulation through nuclear hormone receptors.

Blood pressure reduction has previously been reported with cholesterol reduction in hyperlipidemia patients. The large Fenofibrate Intervention and Event Lowering in Diabetes (FIELD) study and the Brisighella Heart Study have found a small decrease in blood pressure with fibrates and statin (29, 30). In a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials of statin therapy, statin use decreased SBP compared with placebo (31). Some other investigations demonstrated that additional statin therapy led to a greater reduction in SBP, MAP and DBP in patients with controlled hypertension who were also hypercholesterolemic (32, 33). Moreover, the reduction in large artery stiffness observed in statin treatment was speculated to be the result of statin-mediated improvement in endothelial function. The same group found that the magnitude of reduction in arterial stiffness with statin therapy was correlated with the degree of LDL-c lowering.
suggested that lipid lowering contributed, at least in part, to this effect (34).

There were some limitations in our study. This was a cross-sectional study, so it did not show causal relationships. Besides, the relatively small number of subjects needed to be considered. Therefore, the conclusions would be tested by large-scale studies, and longitudinal follow-up along with intervention studies were necessary in the following investigations.

Treatment of endogenous hypercortisolism usually results in resolution or amelioration of hypertension. As previously mentioned, some patients may not achieve normotension or may require a prolonged period of time for the correction of hypercortisolism, and these patients usually need concomitant or sequential use of multiple anti-hypertensive treatment including lipid-lowering therapy particularly to reduce blood pressure and the duration of hypertension.

Conclusion

Our study found that blood pressure in CD patients was significantly associated with serum lipid profile (CHO, LDL-c and apoB in particular). The non-linear relationship between blood pressure and LDL-c indicated the potential role of LDL-c in vessel remodeling, thus increasing cardiovascular events. Therefore, investigations of the beneficial effects of lipid-lowering treatment on hypertension and cardiovascular events in CD patients were necessary in the future. Based on our results, aortic stiffness should be considered in anti-hypertension therapy, which suggested additional lipid-lowering treatment in CD patients.
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