Phenotype–genotype spectrum of AAA syndrome from Western India and systematic review of literature
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Abstract

Objective: To study genotype–phenotype spectrum of triple A syndrome (TAS).

Methods: Retrospective chart analysis of Indian TAS patients (cohort 1, \(n=8\)) and review of genotyped TAS cases reported in world literature (cohort 2, \(n=133\), 68 publications).

Results: Median age at presentation was 4.75 years (range: 4–10) and 7 years (range: 1–42) for cohorts 1 and 2, respectively. Alacrima, adrenal insufficiency (AI), achalasia and neurological dysfunction (ND) were seen in 8/8, 8/8, 7/8 and 4/8 patients in cohort 1, and in 99, 91, 93 and 79% patients in cohort 2, respectively. In both cohorts, alacrima was present since birth while AI and achalasia manifested before ND. Mineralocorticoid deficiency (MC) was uncommon (absent in cohort 1, 12.5% in cohort 2). In cohort 1, splice-site mutation in exon 1 (p.G14Vfs*45) was commonest, followed by a deletion in exon 8 (p.S255Vfs*36). Out of 65 mutations in cohort 2, 14 were recurrent and five exhibited regional clustering. AI was more prevalent, more often a presenting feature, and was diagnosed at younger age in T group (those with truncating mutations) as compared to NT (non-truncating mutations) group. ND was more prevalent, more common a presenting feature, with later age at onset in NT as compared to T group.

Conclusion: Clinical profile of our patients is similar to that of patients worldwide. Alacrima is the earliest and most consistent finding. MC deficiency is uncommon. Some recurrent mutations show regional clustering. p.G14Vfs*45 and p.S255Vfs*36 account for majority of AAAS mutations in our cohort. Phenotype of T group differs from that of NT group and merits future research.

Introduction

Triple A syndrome (TAS, MIM #231550) or Allgrove’s syndrome is an autosomal recessive disorder, characterized by clinical triad of alacrima, adrenal insufficiency (AI) and achalasia cardia. TAS patients may have varied neurological dysfunction (ND) in the form of distal sensory motor polyneuropathy, autonomic dysfunction, dementia, mental retardation, bulbo-spinal amyotrophy, optic atrophy, parkinsonian features, dysarthria, dystonia and chorea (1). Other features described in TAS include microcephaly, short stature, dysmorphic facies with long
narrow face, long philtrum, down-turned mouth, thin upper lip, lack of eyelashes, poor wound healing, palmar and plantar hyperkeratosis, scoliosis, osteoporosis, long QT syndrome and hypolipoproteinemia type IIb (2).

Long time after the initial description of TAS, two groups independently described AAAS (achalasia-addisonian-alacrimia syndrome) gene as the causative gene for this syndrome, in years 2000 and 2001, respectively (3, 4, 5). AAAS gene is located on chromosome 12q13 and encodes for ALADIN (alacrima, achalasia, adrenal insufficiency, neurologic disorder) protein, a member of WD (tryptophan-aspartic acid) repeat containing proteins’ family, which localizes to nuclear pore complexes (NPC). Although exact pathophysiology remains to be elucidated, it is proposed that mutant ALADIN proteins impair the nucleocytoplasmic shuttling of multi-molecular complexes and make the cells susceptible to oxidative stress, resulting in selective tissue degeneration (6).

Given the rarity of this syndrome, literature related to it is confined to case reports/series, which limits comprehensive understanding of its complete spectrum, especially the genotype–phenotype correlation. Herein, we present our series of eight TAS patients from Western India. Additionally, we did systematic review of the published literature, with the aim of analyzing phenotypic and genotypic spectrum of TAS patients worldwide.

Patients and methods

Cohort 1

A retrospective clinical case records study of TAS patients (cohort 1) managed at a tertiary care center (2004–2016) in Western India was conducted after obtaining approval from ‘Institutional Ethics Committee II, Seth G S Medical College and KEM Hospital, Mumbai’. Waiver of patients’ consent was obtained for this retrospective analysis, though due informed consent had been obtained from patients/parents for the genetic analysis. Clinical diagnosis of TAS was based on the presence of a minimum of two features of the triad. Absence of tears was enquired on history, and wherever possible, diagnosis was confirmed by positive Schirmer’s test, which was defined as <10 mm of moisture on a filter paper, placed under the lower eyelids for 5 minutes. Symptoms suggestive of achalasia were enquired on history, and diagnosis was confirmed by a barium swallow study and/or esophageal manometry.

Biochemical diagnosis of AI was based on 08:00h serum cortisol (<5 µg/dL) with a simultaneously elevated plasma adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) (>2-fold above the upper limit of the reference interval for the specific assay) (7). Mineralocorticoid (MC) deficiency was defined as elevated (>upper limit of normal for age) plasma renin activity (PRA) level. Serum cortisol was measured by a solid-phase competitive chemiluminescent enzyme immunoassay (Siemens Healthcare) with an analytical sensitivity of 0.2 µg/dL. The intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variability (CVs) of the cortisol assay were 6.9% and 7.3%, respectively. ACTH was measured by a solid-phase, 2-site sequential chemiluminescent enzyme assay (Siemens Healthcare). The intra- and inter-assay CVs were 9.6% and 8.8%, respectively, with an analytical sensitivity of 9 pg/mL. PRA was measured by radio immunoassay (Diasorin, Stillwater, MN, USA). Intra- and inter-assay CV were <0.7% and <10%, respectively, with an analytical sensitivity of 0.018 ng/mL/h.

For genetic testing (AAAS gene), genomic DNA was extracted using a standard protocol. AAAS gene (OMIM*605378, ENST00000209873) was studied by amplification of all 16 exons, including exon–intron boundaries from genomic DNA. After PCR amplification, direct sequencing of the amplicons was carried out using Big Dye Terminator v1.1 Cycle Sequencing kit with an ABI 3100 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). The description of sequence variants in DNA and protein sequences were expressed according to the nomenclature of human genome variation society. In silico prediction tools including Mutation Taster, PolyPhen-2 and Sort Intolerant from Tolerant (SIFT) were used to predict the functional significance of variation in sequence.

Cohort 2

Systematic review of published literature (up to February 2016) was done on MEDLINE and Scopus search engines employing following search terms: TAS, Allgrove syndrome, 3A syndrome, 4A syndrome, 5A syndrome, ALADIN protein and AAAS gene. Initial search revealed 277 publications related to TAS of which 209 publications were excluded for various reasons. Two hundred and two publications were excluded due to lack of genotypic details. Two non-English language papers and two papers describing previously reported patients (to avoid duplication) were also excluded. Additionally, three papers describing summary statistics were excluded, as no clear information about phenotypic and genotypic characteristics of individual patients could be discerned. Finally, data of 133 index cases (cohort 2) from 68 publications were analyzed (4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13,
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Results

Phenotype (cohort 1)

Cohort 1 comprises 8 index TAS patients (3 males, 5 females) managed at our center. The clinical details are summarized in Table 1. Slight male predominance (57.7%) was observed in overall cohort. Median age at presentation was 5 years (range: 1–42), with majority of patients presenting in first decade of life (80%).

Phenotype (cohort 2)

The phenotypic details of cohort 2 are described in Table 1. Slight male predominance (57.7%) was observed in overall cohort. Median age at presentation was 5 years (range: 1–42), with majority of patients presenting in first decade of life (80%).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using software SPSS, version 23.0 (SPSS Software). Mean (±s.d.) was used for continuous variables when they were normally distributed and median (range) was used for variables with skewed distribution. The difference between the continuous variables was analyzed using independent t test while that between categorical variables was analyzed using chi-square test. P value <0.05 was considered as significant.

Genotype (cohort 1)

Four patients (4/8) had history of other family members being similarly affected. Two of them had parental consanguinity as well (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table 1).

Genotype analysis of these eight unrelated families revealed five different mutations (novel: 1, reported: 4) in AAs gene (Fig. 1). Five patients had mutations in homozygous state, two patients in compound heterozygous state while one patient (patient 8) was heterozygous for a novel mutation (Supplementary Table 1). A previously reported frameshift mutation in exon 1 (c.43C>T, p.G14Vy5*45) was the commonest mutation, observed in four families. A deletion in exon 8 (c.762delC; p.S255Vfs*36) was the next common mutation, found in three families. Previously reported mutations in exon 16 (c.1432C>T; p.R478*), exon 9 (c.856C>T; p.R286*) and a novel mutation in exon 9 (c.908T>A; p.L303Q) were found in one patient each.
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the overall prevalence of each feature was: alacrima (99.2%), achalasia (93.2%), AI (90.1%) and ND (79.4%) (Supplementary Table 2).

In the majority of the patients (90.2%), alacrima was noticed by parents since birth/infancy, while in 4 patients, it was noticed later (range: 2–8 years). The singular
patient reported with the absence of alacrima was
documented to have normal Schirmer's test until the age
of 18 years (66). AI (median age of onset: 4 years, range:
0–23 years) and achalasia (mean age of onset 8.19 years,
s.d. = 7.65 years) manifested earlier than ND (median age
of onset: 12 years, range: 1.1–40 years). On sub-analysis
of patients in whom age at last follow-up was reported,
it was observed that majority of patients who had not
manifested achalasia (7/7, 100%) and neurological
dysfunction (18/21, 85.71%) at the last follow-up were
young (≤18 years).

Out of 65 patients with AI, where detailed
information was reported, majority (92.3%) had
clinical/symptomatic presentation. Only 7.7% (5/65)
patients had subclinical AI, in whom diagnosis of AI
was established by ACTH stimulation test done as a part
of work up, when other components had manifested.
Presence or absence of MC deficiency was approved in
65 patients, while PRA and PAC levels were reported
in only 24 and 33 patients, respectively. Although MC
deficiency was reported to be present in 16 patients
(16/65, 24.6%) definite diagnosis of MC deficiency with

Table 1 Description of phenotypic details of cohort 2 (n=133 from 68 publications).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Number of patients where relevant information reported</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male:Female</td>
<td>60/44</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at last follow up (years)*</td>
<td>18.14 (s.d. = 12.9)</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at presentation (years)**</td>
<td>5 (range: 1–42)</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of patients presenting at ≤10 years</td>
<td>72 (80%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11–20 years</td>
<td>4 (4.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21–30 years</td>
<td>2 (2.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31–40 years</td>
<td>1 (1.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41–50 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presenting feature</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>35 (36.8%)</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achalasia</td>
<td>13 (13.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4 (4.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alacrima</td>
<td>4 (4.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI and achalasia</td>
<td>2 (2.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achalasia and ND</td>
<td>1 (1.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al and alacrima</td>
<td>1 (1.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI and ND</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alacrima</td>
<td>132 (99.2%)</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achalasia</td>
<td>124 (93.2%)</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND</td>
<td>119 (90.1%)</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>100 (79.4%)</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alacrima</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at onset**</td>
<td>0 years (range 0–8)</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at diagnosis**</td>
<td>8.6 years (1–60 years)</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at onset**</td>
<td>4 years (range: 0–23)</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at diagnosis**</td>
<td>6 years (range: 1–48)</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence of subclinical AI</td>
<td>5 (7.7%)</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence of MC deficiency#</td>
<td>16 (24.6%)</td>
<td>24 (PRA levels available)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-definite</td>
<td>3 (12.5%)</td>
<td>33 (PAC levels available)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achalasia</td>
<td>8.19 years (s.d. = 7.65)</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at onset*</td>
<td>10.55 years (s.d. = 8.57)</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at diagnosis*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND</td>
<td>12 years (range: 1.1–40)</td>
<td>2744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at onset**</td>
<td>15.08 years (s.d. = 13.07)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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patients (cohort 1). Additionally, it includes systematic
Discussion

Triple A syndrome (TAS), though a rare disorder, is an
important genetic cause of primary AI in children. Current
study includes the first description of Indian series of AS
patients (cohort 1). Additionally, it includes systematic
elevated PRA levels (3/24, 12.5%) or low PAC levels
(3/33, 9%) could be established in few patients only.

Genotype (cohort 2)

34.3% of patients (37 out of 108 patients in whom details
of family history were reported) had history of similar
affectation in one or more family members, while 45.3%
of patients (53 out of 117 patients in whom relevant
information was mentioned) had history of parental
consanguinity (Supplementary Table 2).

Overall, 65 different mutations were reported in
127 patients, 65.4% (n=83) in homozygous state, 33%
(n=42) in compound heterozygous state and 1.6% (n=2)
in heterozygous state. Mutations were not identified in
AAAS gene in 6 patients (4.7%). Common mutations
included frameshift (n=19), nonsense (n=19), missense
(n=15), and splice-site (n=8) mutations. Additionally,
an indel mutation and mutations in the intronic region,
regulatory element and 5’ UTR were found in one
each patient. Figure 2 collates the various mutations
found in AAAS gene (from cohorts 1 and 2). Fourteen
mutations were recurrent (defined as occurring in ≥3
unrelated individuals). Some of the recurring mutations
exhibit clustering to particular geographical regions
(Fig. 3). These include c.1331 + 1G > A (North Africa and
USA), c.1432C>T (Europe), c.771delG; p.R258Gfs*33 (China), c.43C>T; p.S263P (Europe and India, from cohort 1) and
c.762delC; p.S255Fs*36 (India, from cohort 1).

Phenotype–genotype correlation analysis

To study phenotype–genotype correlation, patients (from
both cohorts 1 and 2) were divided into two groups: Group
T-patients with mutations resulting in truncating protein,
and group NT-patients with mutations resulting in
non-truncating protein. Patients with nonsense
mutations, frameshift mutations and deletions were
grouped as truncating, while those with missense
mutations were grouped as non-truncating ones. Patients
having compound heterozygous state with one truncating
and other non-truncating mutation were classified in
group NT, as it has been observed that patients having
at least one missense (non-truncating) mutation have
a milder phenotype than those having both truncating
ones (21). Three out of 8 splice-site mutations (c.1331 + 1;
G>A, c.546-2A>C and c.400-2A>G) were previously
reported to result in truncating proteins and hence were
classified in group T. Remaining 5 splice-site mutations
could not be sub-classified due to the absence of in vitro
mRNA studies. These 5 patients with splice-site mutations,
three patients who had heterozygous mutations, and
six mutation negative patients, were excluded from
analysis of genotype-phenotype correlation. The result of
genotype-phenotype analysis for remaining 127 patients
is shown in Table 2. As compared to NT group, patients
in T group had significantly higher prevalence and higher
chances of presentation with AI. Moreover, median age
of diagnosis of AI in T group was significantly lower than
that of NT group. Subclinical AI was found in NT group
only. As compared to T group, patients within NT group
had significantly higher overall prevalence and higher
chances of presentation with ND. However, median age at
onset of ND in T group was significantly lower than that
of NT group.

Figure 2
Schematic diagram of AAAS gene distribution of 66 mutations reported to date (1 from cohort 1 and 65 from cohort 2).
review of phenotype–genotype spectrum of genotyped cases of TAS reported to date (cohort 2).

Although small sample size of our cohort limits statistical comparison with cohort 2, we found that the phenotypic spectrum of our patients was largely similar to that of other ethnicities (cohort 2) except for a lower prevalence of ND in our patients (4/8 patients in cohort 1 vs 80% in cohort 2). Apart from a possible referral bias to an endocrine center, we believe this disparity can be attributed to younger age of our patients. All patients in our cohort without ND are young (5.5–13 years at last follow-up). This conforms with the observation that 87.5% of patients in cohort 2 who have not yet manifested ND were younger than 18 years.

In cohort 2, majority of TAS patients presented in first two decades of life, with either achalasia or AI. Hence TAS, though an uncommon etiology for pediatric achalasia (7–15%) or pediatric AI (1%) per se, should be considered in the etiological workup of these disorders in children (73, 74). Seven patients (8%) in cohort 2 presented in 3rd to 5th decades of life, suggesting that diagnosis of TAS should be considered in adults as well. Interestingly, these seven patients presented either with ND or achalasia, and none of them presented with AI. The reason for this differential mode of disease presentation in adults remains unknown and needs further study.

Analysis of cohort 2 reveals that a patient with TAS can present with any one of the four cardinal features and that the symptoms evolve over a period of time, suggesting TAS to be a progressive disorder. Therefore, it is prudent to exercise high index of suspicion for diagnosis, even in patients presenting with isolated components of TAS. Alacrima, rarely a presenting feature, is the earliest to appear and is present in almost every (99%) patient. Hence, in a patient presenting with AI, achalasia or ND, presence of alacrima can point towards the diagnosis of TAS, while its absence almost rules out this rare condition. Achalasia, present in 93% of patients, was second most prevalent disorder. Its prevalence was higher than what is classically reported in earlier reviews (75%) (1).

AI in TAS is commonly isolated glucocorticoid deficiency. In their original report, Allgrove and coworkers...
Table 2 Comparison of triple A syndrome patients with truncating and non-truncating mutations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Group T Patients with mutations resulting in truncating protein (n=86)</th>
<th>Group NT Patients with mutations resulting in non-truncating protein (n=41)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age at last follow up in years</td>
<td>16.5 ± 11.8 (69)</td>
<td>22.2 ± 13.7 (36)</td>
<td>0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of patients with positive family history</td>
<td>40.8% (29/71)</td>
<td>28.1% (9/32)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of patients having history of consanguinity</td>
<td>56.7% (42/74)</td>
<td>26.3% (10/38)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of patients presenting with the following feature</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adrenal insufficiency</td>
<td>55% (33/60)</td>
<td>12.5% (4/32)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achalasia</td>
<td>30% (18/60)</td>
<td>46.8% (15/32)</td>
<td>0.118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alacrimia</td>
<td>5% (3/60)</td>
<td>21.8% (7/32)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological dysfunction</td>
<td>5% (3/60)</td>
<td>15.6% (5/32)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed presentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age at presentation in years</td>
<td>5 years (62)</td>
<td>5 years (28)</td>
<td>0.159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence of following features</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adrenal insufficiency</td>
<td>98.8% (85/86)</td>
<td>75.6% (31/41)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achalasia</td>
<td>93% (80/86)</td>
<td>95.12% (39/41)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alacrimia</td>
<td>100% (86/86)</td>
<td>97.5% (40/41)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological dysfunction</td>
<td>73.4% (58/79)</td>
<td>95.12% (39/41)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineralocorticoid deficiency</td>
<td>17.4% (15/86)</td>
<td>24.3% (10/41)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin manifestations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achalasia</td>
<td>8 ± 7.3 years (34)</td>
<td>8.9 ± 7.6 years (14)</td>
<td>0.685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age at onset (years)</td>
<td>10.3 ± 7.9 years (42)</td>
<td>10.7 ± 8.7 years (24)</td>
<td>0.844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age at diagnosis (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alacrimia</td>
<td>0 (30)</td>
<td>0 (11)</td>
<td>0.387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age at onset (years)</td>
<td>8.25 (39)</td>
<td>13 (23)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age at diagnosis (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adrenal insufficiency</td>
<td>3.5 years (36)</td>
<td>5.75 years (8)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age at onset (years)</td>
<td>5.15 years (48)</td>
<td>9.3 years (18)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age at diagnosis (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological dysfunction</td>
<td>6.5 (12)</td>
<td>12 years (15)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age at onset (years)</td>
<td>13.4 ± 8.1 years (24)</td>
<td>17.2 ± 16 (22)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age at diagnosis (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence of subclinical AI</td>
<td>0% (0/48)</td>
<td>22.2% (4/18)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures in parenthesis indicate the number of patients in whom information about respective parameter was available.
described it as a variant of familial glucocorticoid deficiency with histopathological evidence of a preserved zona glomerulosa (3). Later, MC deficiency was also reported in TAS (51, 71, 72). Grant and coworkers reported 3 patients to be MC deficient (all 3 with typical electrolyte abnormalities and two with additional elevated PRA) in a cohort of 20 TAS patients, suggesting 15% prevalence of MC deficiency in TAS (75). In close analysis of cohort 2, we found that definite MC deficiency was uncommon (12.5% (3/24) in those with PRA levels reported; 9% (3/33) in those with PAC levels reported). Similarly, none of the patients in cohort 1 had MC deficiency as documented with normal PRA levels. These findings emphasize the need of establishing definite diagnosis of MC deficiency with study of PAC and PRA levels, before starting fludrocortisone replacement. The pathophysiology of MC axis involvement remains unknown and may be proposed to be due to progressive degeneration of zona glomerulosa and/or autonomic dysfunction.

Half of patients in cohort 1 and one-third (34.3%) of patients in cohort 2 were familial cases. Additionally, there was higher prevalence of parental consanguinity (45%) in patients of cohort 2, which emphasizes autosomal recessive mode of inheritance for TAS. The current study revealed 67 different mutations in AAAS gene, including a novel mutation from cohort 1. The majority (7/8 patients in cohort 1 and 94% in cohort 2) had mutations in homozygous or compound heterozygous state consistent with AR inheritance. Two patients in cohort 2 were heterozygous for mutations (58, 72) (c.856C>T (p.R286*) and c.1331+1; G>A (IVS14+1 G>A)), which have been previously reported in homozygous as well as compound heterozygous states (4, 38, 44, 72). Interestingly, in these previous reports, familial segregation analysis documented no clinical phenotype of disease in healthy parents or unaffected siblings, who were heterozygous (carriers) for these mutations. The plausible explanation for this phenomenon could be the presence of another mutation in noncoding sequences of second allele of AAAS gene, which remained unidentified with current detection methods or another unknown gene mutation, which affects the expression and/or function of AAAS gene.

Six patients from cohort 2 tested negative for mutations in AAAS gene (47, 49, 58, 68). This can be postulated to be due to unidentified large deletions (missed on Sanger sequencing) or mutations in uncharted intronic or regulatory regions. In few mutation-negative TAS families, even linkage to markers of the AAAS gene region on 12q13 was found to be negative (76). Hence, the possibility of mutations in genes other than AAAS gene with phenotype similar to TAS cannot be ruled out, further signifying the genetic heterogeneity of TAS.

Mutations were found throughout the AAAS gene, suggesting no hotspots. However, based on the observation of geographic clustering of apparently unrelated patients with same mutations, several authors have suggested regional founder effects for certain recurring mutations, which were substantiated by haplotype analysis (4, 5, 33, 41, 44). Based on haplotype analysis, Genin and coworkers predicted that c.1331+1G>A mutation originated in North African population 1000–1175 years ago (77). In our analysis, we identified 15 recurrent mutations, with few exhibiting regional clustering. These represent higher background (region specific) carrier rate, suggesting a common ancestral origin. Thus, ethnic origin of a patient may help in targeted molecular diagnosis of TAS. Facilitation of genetic diagnosis by this approach has been attempted by Kallabi and coworkers who performed targeted sequencing precisely for the region of AAAS gene (172-bp fragment that covers the junction between exon 14 and intron 14) corresponding to commonly described North African ancestral mutation (c.1331+1G>A) in two Libyan AS families and confirmed the presence of same mutation (13). Kallabi and coworkers further proposed that doing restricted enzymatic digestion by Mva I of the targeted PCR product can facilitate family screening of affected individuals (13).

Even before genetic etiology of TAS could be elucidated, intra-familial variability in clinical phenotype was well recognized (1, 78). Thereafter, study of genotype–phenotype correlation in TAS patients was based on observing variability in clinical manifestations in patients having same individual mutation (20, 41, 54). This approach revealed the absence of a consistent genotype–phenotype correlation, which was proposed to be due to effect of other modifying genes or environmental factors on the phenotype of TAS.

Few authors have observed that the patients with missense mutations had different phenotypes than those with truncating mutations (21). We used similar approach to study the genotype–phenotype correlation in our study and observed significant clinical differences between the two groups. Patients in T group were more likely to present with symptomatic AI, diagnosed to have AI at younger age and have overall higher prevalence of AI as compared to NT group. Prevalence/presentation of alacrima and achalasia did not differ among these groups. Patients in NT group were more likely to present with ND, with onset of ND at later age and have higher prevalence.
of ND as compared to T group. With the underlying causative mechanisms unknown, our observation of differing clinical profiles of the two groups calls for future research. In vitro studies have shown that mutations truncating N or C terminals, and most non-truncating mutations, especially those in WD repeat region result in mis-localisation of ALADIN protein, potentially causing functional disruption of interaction of mutant ALADIN with other associated proteins (79). A possible interaction of ALADIN with PGRMC2, a regulator of the cell cycle, and participation of ALADIN in cell division by spatial regulation of Aurora A was described recently (80, 81). It can be speculated that during process of cell division, missense mutations (non-truncating) with the presence of a functionally impaired protein may have different consequences compared to that of a truncated protein, which in most cases, undergoes degradation by the ubiquitin–proteasome pathway, accounting for phenotypic differences in clinical features.

Most previous reports on TAS have limitation of small sample size. Besides being the first description of largest Indian series of genetically proven TAS patients, the strength of our study includes collation of individual data of genotyped TAS patients worldwide. We believe that our study adds to the comprehensive understanding of phenotypic and genotypic spectrum of this rare disorder. However, the study has important limitation of non-uniformity of data, as complete phenotypic information was not available for each reported patient. Also, phenotypic information of pre-2000 era (before AAAS gene was described) is not included in the current study, which may make the phenotypic prevalence data of cohort 2 not completely representative. Progressive nature of the syndrome forms an additional limitation for genotype–phenotype correlation (62).

**Conclusion**

Clinical profile of Indian TAS patients is largely similar to that of patients of other ethnicities. Although TAS is predominantly a disorder of children and adolescents, it can manifest in adulthood up to 5th decade of life. Alacrima is the earliest and most consistent finding of TAS. MC deficiency is less common while achalasia is more common than it was previously assumed. Some recurrent mutations show regional clustering and may suggest common ancestral mutation/founder effect. Two mutations (p.G14Vfs*45 and p.S255Vfs*36) account for majority of the AAAS mutations in our patients.

Phenotypic profile of patients with truncating mutations differs systematically from that of patients with non-truncating mutations. Future research is warranted to put this observation in perspective.
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