Ghrelin stimulation by hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis activation depends on increasing cortisol levels
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Abstract
Ghrelin plasma concentration increases in parallel to cortisol after a standardized psychological stress in humans, but the physiological basis of this interaction is unknown. We aimed to elucidate this question by studying the ghrelin response to pharmacological manipulation of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis. Six lean, healthy male volunteers were examined under four experimental conditions. Blood samples were collected every 30 min for two sequential periods of two hours. Initially, a baseline period was followed by intravenous injection of a synthetic analog of ACTH (250 μg). Subsequently, a single dose of metyrapone was administered at midnight and in the following morning, blood samples were collected for 2 h, followed by an intravenous injection of hydrocortisone (100 mg) with continued sampling. We show that increased cortisol serum levels secondary to ACTH stimulation or hydrocortisone administration are positively associated with plasma ghrelin levels, whereas central stimulation of the HPA axis by blocking cortisol synthesis with metyrapone is associated with decreased plasma ghrelin levels. Collectively, this suggests that HPA-axis-mediated elevations in ghrelin plasma concentration require increased peripheral cortisol levels, independent of central elevation of ACTH and possibly CRH levels.

Introduction

Ghrelin is a major regulator of food intake, energy balance and body weight (1). The active form of ghrelin, acyl-ghrelin, is the endogenous ligand for the growth hormone secretagogue receptor (GHSR)1a (2). Since its cloning (3), ghrelin was found to be involved in a myriad of additional physiologic functions (4, 5, 6, 7). Ghrelin plays an important role in the activation of central pathways mediating stress-induced food reward behavior (8, 9, 10, 11) and is also involved in the response to acute stressors such as major surgery (12) and stress-induced gastric mucosal injury (13, 14). Ghrelin stimulates the secretion of hormones involved in the stress response, including vasopressin, ACTH, prolactin and cortisol (15, 16, 17). The stimulation of the HPA axis by ghrelin is exerted predominantly at the hypothalamic level (18) through vasopressin stimulation (19) and indirect activation of CRH neurons (20, 21). Direct effects of ghrelin on pituitary ACTH (3, 22) and adrenal cortisol secretion (23, 24, 25) are minor, despite abundant GHSR1a expression in these tissues (26). Studies using hexarelin, a synthetic GH secretagogue (GHS) that activates GHSR1a, suggest that the stimulatory effect of GHS on corticotroph function is sensitive to glucocorticoid feedback, as the ACTH response to hexarelin was suppressed by pre-treatment...
with dexamethasone (27) but enhanced in patients with Addison’s disease and in healthy subjects pre-treated with metyrapone (28).

In contrast to the expanding knowledge on ghrelin’s biological functions, the regulation of ghrelin’s synthesis and secretion is less well characterized, although involvement of the autonomic nervous system (29, 30) and peptides such as somatostatin and bombesin (31) have been reported. Both acute (32) and chronic psychological stresses (9) are associated with increased ghrelin secretion in animal models. It has been hypothesized that this stress-induced ghrelin rise may be mediated by the increased sympathoadrenal tone characteristic of the stress response, through stimulation of β1-adrenergic receptors on gastric ghrelin cells (33, 34). The corticosterone response to an acute stress was reduced in ghrelin (35) and in ghrelin receptor knock-out mice (9), indicating that a fraction of the stress-induced corticosterone secretion is mediated through ghrelin and its receptor.

In a previous study, we showed for the first time in humans that a standardized psychological challenge may induce an increase in plasma total ghrelin concentration (36), and that the stress-induced increase in plasma ghrelin was positively correlated with the serum cortisol response. Although the HPA activation by stress was paralleled by an increase in plasma ghrelin levels, we could not establish whether this was a direct effect or was rather mediated by the activation of other factors. To try to elucidate this question, we studied the ghrelin response to direct activation at various levels of the HPA axis in humans, through standard tests used in clinical practice.

**Subjects and methods**

**Subjects**

Subjects were recruited by advertising in bulletin boards at our institution. Six healthy subjects gave written informed consent to participate in the study after receiving full explanation of the purpose and nature of all procedures. Inclusion criteria were as follows: age between 18 and 75 years, male gender and BMI between 20 and 30 kg/m². Women have been shown to have higher ghrelin levels than men; therefore, female volunteers were not included in order to obtain a homogeneous study group. Subjects with any type of chronic or acute disease, history of alcohol or drug abuse or individuals taking medications were excluded. The study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the Tel Aviv-Sourasky Medical Center, functioning according to the 3rd edition of the Guidelines on the Practice of Ethical Committees in Medical Research issued by the Royal College of Physicians of London.

**Study protocol**

Dynamic hormone testing was performed at the daycare facilities of the Institute of Endocrinology, Metabolism and Hypertension at our institution on two different occasions, one week apart. Blood pressure, weight, height, BMI and waist and hip circumference were measured in all subjects upon arrival on experimental day 1. Testing was started at 09:00h, while subjects remained in the fasting state throughout the day. Blood samples were collected for baseline measurements of cortisol, ACTH, total ghrelin and acyl-ghrelin at 30-min intervals for two hours. Glucose, insulin and lipid profile were also tested at baseline. Subsequently, a standard synacthen test was performed (intravenous injection of 250 µg Cosyntropin (tetraocasactide – beta1-24-corticotrophin, Sigma-Tau, Issy-les-Moulineaux, France) and blood samples were collected again for the same hormonal measurements every 30 min for two additional hours. After one-week interval, a standard metyrapone test was conducted: Metyrapone (2g for subjects weighting less than 70kg, and 2.5g for subjects with weights between 70 and 90kg) was given at midnight, followed by hormone testing in the subsequent morning. Metyrapone blocks cortisol synthesis by reversibly inhibiting steroid 11β-hydroxylase, thus stimulating ACTH secretion, which in turn increases plasma 11-deoxycortisol levels. On the following morning (experimental day 2), in the fasting state, blood samples were again collected for baseline levels of cortisol, ACTH, total ghrelin and acyl-ghrelin, starting at 09:00h for two hours at 30-min intervals. Then, 100mg hydrocortisone was given intravenously as a slow bolus, and blood samples were obtained again for hormonal measurements for additional two hours at 30min intervals. Thus, ghrelin secretion was assessed under four settings in relation to cortisol and ACTH, each lasting two hours: (1) phase 1 – baseline unstimulated cortisol and ACTH levels; (2) phase 2 – high ACTH and cortisol levels after synacthen administration; (3) phase 3 – high ACTH and low cortisol levels in the morning which followed the administration of metyrapone on the preceding night and (4) phase 4 – high cortisol with decreasing ACTH levels after hydrocortisone administration.
Laboratory measurements

Blood samples for ghrelin measurements (both total and acyl-ghrelin) were collected in EDTA vacutainer tubes kept in ice. Blood samples were then centrifuged for 15 min at 4°C and the plasma was removed to a polystyrene tube 12/75 kept on ice. Fifty microliters of 1M HCl and 10µL of the protease inhibitor phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF, Sigma-Aldrich) were added to each milliliter of plasma to maintain the stability of acyl-ghrelin. Samples were then stored at −20°C. Total plasma ghrelin levels (measured both acyl- and des-acyl-ghrelin peptides) were measured with a commercial radioimmunoassay (Merck Millipore), with within- and between-assay coefficients of variation of <10%, and 17%, respectively. Acyl-ghrelin levels were determined using a commercial radioimmunoassay (Linco Research, Missouri, USA). Within- and between-assay coefficients of variation for this assay were <9.5% and <16.2%, respectively.

Serum cortisol and insulin were measured by electrochemiluminescence immunoassays (ECLias) by a Roche-Hitachi Cobas E411 analyzer. ACTH was measured by immunoluminescence on an IMMULITE 2000 XPI Immunoassay System. This assay is highly specific for ACTH, with a very low cross-reactivity with 1–24 ACTH (1, 0.5 and 0.2% when 500, 5000 and 50,000 pg/mL of synacthen are added to the reaction). ACTH levels measured using a radioimmunoassay with an antibody that recognized the N-terminal sequence of ACTH were extremely high in the order of 700 pg/mL 30 min after intravenous administration of synacthen 250 µg (37). This implies that measurements of ACTH by the IMMULITE immunoassay cannot reflect the actual levels of bioactive ACTH present in the circulation after synacthen administration; therefore, these measurements were excluded from the analyses. Deoxycortisol and cortisol levels sampled on investigational day 2 (following metyrapone administration) were measured by HPLC, in view of the cross-reactivity between these two hormones (4.1%) in the ECLIA. This HPLC method is routinely used in our laboratory and is based on the extraction of serum with methylene chloride after the addition of internal standards and injection on a reverse phase column with UV detection.

Briefly, 100 ng of internal standard, flurandrenolide (SIGMA F1642), in 50 µL of ethanol (MERCK lichrosolv 1.11727), is added in glass tubes to standards and samples. A mixture of cortisol (F) and deoxycortisol (S) is added to the standard tubes (40 ng of F and 40 ng of S in 20 µL of ethanol). Three milliliter of methylene chloride (MERCK lichrosolv 1.06044) is added to 200 µL of sera as an upper layer in the sample tubes. Samples are immediately mixed on a vortex for 30 s and centrifuged at 40°C, 1750 g, for 15 min. The infranatant is then transferred into clean 16×100 mm glass tubes by gentle decanting. The serum phase remains on the walls of the tubes. The sample extracts and non-extracted standards are dried with air at 45°C in a ZYMARK TURBO VAP LV evaporator for 5 min. Dried down samples and standards are dissolved in 200 µL of mobile phase 58% methanol (MERCK lichrosolv 1.06007): 42% H₂O (MERCK lichrosolv 1.15333) and then transferred to glass inserts in the autosampler. Forty microliters are injected through a MERCK lichrocart RP18/5micrometer 125-4 column. Detector was set to 254 nm.

Homeostasis model assessment for insulin resistance (HOMA-R) was calculated as (fasting blood glucose (mg/dL) × fasting insulin (IU/L))/405.

Statistical analysis

An analysis of variance with repeated measures (phase and time) was performed for cortisol, ACTH, total ghrelin and acyl-ghrelin levels to assess the change over time and the effect of phase on those parameters. The MIXED procedure in SAS was used for this analysis, and patients' BMI at baseline was included in the analysis as a confounder. Phase×time analysis was performed for each phase separately (to compare between time points), and phase×time×time analysis was performed for the mean of all time points at each phase (to compare between phases). Whenever a significant main effect (phase or time) was noted, Hochberg’s method for multiple comparisons was applied for a pairwise comparison between the different levels of this effect.

The association between the above-mentioned parameters was assessed by the Pearson correlation coefficient. They were calculated for each phase separately, once for the means of the parameters over all time points (examining associations of tested parameters between study participants), and once for the area under the curve (AUC) of the levels of parameters at different time points (examining associations between measurements at different time points irrespective of order). AUC was calculated by the trapezoid method. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS for Windows version 9.1. Data are presented as means ±S.E.M.
Results
Demographics
Six healthy male subjects (mean age 29.7±6.5, range 18.5–37 years) participated in the study. Participants had normal body weight (mean BMI 23±1.67 kg/m²), blood pressure, blood glucose and lipid levels as well as insulin sensitivity as measured by HOMA-R (Table 1).

Mean hormone serum/plasma levels according to experimental phase
As expected, mean serum cortisol was higher after ACTH stimulation (phase 2) than at the baseline (phase 1). Serum cortisol decreased following metyrapone administration (phase 3) and significantly increased after hydrocortisone injection (phase 4) (Fig. 1A, P=0.008; and P=0.02, P=0.01 and P=0.01 for the comparison among phase 1 vs 4, 2 vs 4 and 3 vs 4, respectively). Similarly, the expected and concordant changes occurred in plasma ACTH levels, with a very prominent increase after metyrapone administration followed by a gradual decrease after hydrocortisone injection (Fig. 1B, P<0.0001; P<0.0001 for the comparisons among phase 1 vs 3 and 3 vs 4). ACTH plasma levels measured after administration of synacthen were excluded from the analyses as they do not accurately reflect the actual levels of the biologically active hormone. There was a significant difference in mean total ghrelin plasma concentration according to study phase (P=0.031) in that levels were significantly lower in the metyrapone phase in comparison with levels after synacthen

Table 1  Characteristics of the study population.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>29.7±2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>24.5±1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>102±12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mg/dL)</td>
<td>86±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (µU/mL)</td>
<td>9.5±0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA</td>
<td>2±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal serum cortisol (µg/dL)</td>
<td>12.6±1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal plasma ACTH (pg/mL)</td>
<td>22.2±3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal plasma total ghrelin (pg/mL)</td>
<td>573±43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal plasma acyl-ghrelin (pg/mL)</td>
<td>50.8±11.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are mean ± s.e.m., n=6.
HOMA-R, Homeostasis Model Assessment for insulin Resistance.

Figure 1
Mean serum cortisol (panel A), mean plasma ACTH (panel B), mean plasma total ghrelin (panel C) and mean plasma acyl-ghrelin (panel D) concentration at baseline and after administration of synacthen, metyrapone or hydrocortisone. Hormone concentrations were measured every 30 min for two hours in each phase. Test for difference in means between phases: P=0.008, P<0.001, P=0.031 and P=0.057 for phase comparisons for mean cortisol, ACTH, total ghrelin and acyl-ghrelin, respectively.
Following the administration of synacthen (phase 2), cortisol values increased as expected (baseline: 9 ± 4.6 µg/dL; 29.6 ± 3.1 and 34.8 ± 5.4 µg/dL after 60 and 120 min, respectively; \( P < 0.0001 \), but neither total nor acyl-ghrelin plasma concentration changed significantly. Nevertheless, a strong positive correlation was found between the AUC for total ghrelin and cortisol response to synacthen \( (r=0.876, P=0.02, \text{Fig. 2}) \). Cortisol and acyl-ghrelin levels were also positively correlated but with borderline statistical significance \( (r=0.76 \text{ and } P=0.08 \text{ for AUC values}) \).

**Ghrelin response to metyrapone (high ACTH, low cortisol paradigm, phase 3)**

Mean baseline cortisol serum levels in the morning following midnight metyrapone administration were 5.3 ± 2.5 µg/dL, mean serum 11-deoxycortisol was 12.6 ± 3.1 µg/dL and mean plasma ACTH reached 322 ± 98 pg/mL, indicating an effective inhibition of the 11-hydroxylase enzyme. Mean total and acyl-ghrelin plasma levels were significantly lower in phase 3 (as measured for 2 h in the morning following midnight metyrapone administration) when compared with other phases \( (P=0.031, \text{Fig. 1C} \text{ and } P=0.057, \text{Fig. 1D} \text{ for total and acyl-ghrelin, respectively}) \).

**Ghrelin response to an intravenous administration of 100 mg hydrocortisone (high cortisol, decreasing ACTH levels paradigm, phase 4)**

There was a significant increase in plasma acyl-ghrelin levels after hydrocortisone administration from a mean baseline
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**Figure 2**

Correlation between total plasma ghrelin and serum cortisol AUCs after direct stimulation with 250 µg synacthen. \( r=0.876, P=0.02 \).
plasma concentration of 41.5±20.8–55.1±24.7 pg/mL 90 min post-injection (P=0.03, Fig. 3).

Discussion

In this study, we expand our previous work on the stress-related correlation between cortisol and ghrelin plasma levels (36) taking it one step forward by dissecting their interaction according to HPA axis activation at different levels. The key finding in the present study is the observation of a positive association between ghrelin/acyl-ghrelin and cortisol levels, either in the setting of increasing endogenous serum cortisol in response to stimulation by exogenous ACTH (synacthen) or during the rise in serum cortisol induced following the intravenous injection of exogenous hydrocortisone. In contrast, despite extremely elevated ACTH plasma levels reached through inhibition of adrenal cortisol synthesis by metyrapone, ghrelin plasma concentration not only did not rise, but in fact remained at significantly lower levels in comparison with those measured during the other experimental settings. Hence, while increasing circulating cortisol was associated with a rise in plasma ghrelin concentration, central stimulation of the HPA axis in the face of persistently low peripheral cortisol levels (metyrapone challenge) was unable to stimulate ghrelin secretion. Collectively, these findings suggest that ghrelin stimulation secondary to activation of HPA axis does not occur at the hypothalamic level but rather at the periphery, dependent on elevated cortisol serum levels.

It should be noted, however, that despite the emergence of a positive correlation (mean and AUC values) between ghrelin/acyl-ghrelin and cortisol after synacthen administration, ghrelin plasma levels did not change significantly after this stimulus. In contrast, acyl-ghrelin plasma levels increased substantially in parallel to the much higher cortisol serum levels reached following hydrocortisone administration. This may indicate the existence of a dose response or a threshold for ghrelin stimulation by cortisol.

The literature examining the interrelationship between ghrelin and the HPA axis is complex and often discrepant. Results vary depending on context, circumstances and target population in which it was evaluated. For example, during a 12-h nocturnal sampling, a strong positive association between total ghrelin and cortisol secretory dynamics was found in adolescent girls with or without anorexia nervosa (38), but no association was found between nocturnal ghrelin and cortisol levels in healthy men and women (39). There was no correlation between circadian variation of ghrelin and cortisol serum levels when studied in the basal, non-fasted state (40), whereas a strong inverse correlation emerged after prolonged fasting (41). Furthermore, ghrelin and cortisol serum concentrations were positively correlated in the basal fasting state in women with eating disorders, but not in healthy women (42). Finally, although a cold-pressor stress test increased ghrelin and cortisol, there was no correlation between the post-stress levels of these hormones (43), in contrast to the strong correlation found between them after a psychological stress (36).

The interaction between ghrelin and the HPA axis was also studied by means of exogenous administration of glucocorticoids. High-dose prednisolone administration for five days to healthy subjects suppressed ghrelin plasma levels by 18% (44). On the other hand, a four-hour nocturnal hydrocortisone infusion did not affect acyl- or desacyl-ghrelin plasma levels in healthy adults (45). Dexamethasone administration increased ghrelin plasma levels in neonatal rats (46) and stimulated ghrelin expression in rat hypothalamic 4B cells (47). On the other hand, adrenalectomized rats had similar plasma ghrelin levels in the fed state but levels were higher in the fasting state in comparison to sham-operated rats (48).

It seems that no single unifying hypothesis could explain the variety of cortisol–ghrelin interactions observed under such variable experimental settings. From the correlation studies performed in humans, it seems that an interaction between these two hormones was found mainly in fasting states or in patients with eating disorders, situations in which basal ghrelin levels are elevated, but not in the natural fed state or in healthy subjects. Studies in which exogenous glucocorticoids were administered induced stimulation, suppression or unchanged ghrelin plasma levels, discrepant results that may have been derived from the use of glucocorticoids of different potencies and for variable time periods.

Our data suggest that central activation of the HPA axis, as achieved by metyrapone administration, in dissociation from a concomitant increase in circulating serum cortisol, and in fact, in the face of cortisol inhibition, was not able to stimulate ghrelin plasma levels. It is possible that to this end, high or normal cortisol levels are necessary and play a putative permissive effect to allow for catecholamine-mediated stimulation of ghrelin centrally or through activation of β2-adrenergic receptors on gastric ghrelin cells (33, 34, 49). Conversely, our data could be also interpreted as consistent with a
direct effect of cortisol on ghrelin secretion, independent of the activation of the sympathetic nervous system.

In addition to the small number of subjects examined, our study is also limited in that in none of its phases, high cortisol serum levels were associated with suppressed plasma ACTH levels. Prior to hydrocortisone stimulation in phase 4, ACTH levels were still substantially elevated as a result of adrenal steroidogenesis inhibition by metyrapone. Although the increase in serum cortisol levels reflecting hydrocortisone assimilation was associated with decreasing plasma ACTH levels, when peak acyl-ghrelin was achieved, ACTH was still in the normal range.

In summary, we show that increased cortisol levels secondary to ACTH stimulation or hydrocortisone administration are associated with increments in plasma ghrelin levels, whereas central stimulation of the HPA axis by blocking cortisol synthesis with metyrapone is associated with decreased plasma ghrelin levels. Collectively, this suggests that HPA-axis-mediated elevations in ghrelin plasma concentration require increased peripheral cortisol levels, independent of central elevation of ACTH and possibly CRH levels. Further studies are needed to elucidate whether a neuroendocrine feedback system between the HPA axis and ghrelin secretion exists.
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