Update on strategies limiting iatrogenic hypoglycemia
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Abstract

The prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is increasing all over the world. Targeting good glycemic control is fundamental to avoid the complications of diabetes linked to hyperglycemia. This narrative review is based on material searched for and obtained via PubMed up to April 2015. The search terms we used were: 'hypoglycemia, diabetes, complications' in combination with 'iatrogenic, treatment, symptoms.' Serious complications might occur from an inappropriate treatment of hyperglycemia. The most frequent complication is iatrogenic hypoglycemia that is often associated with autonomic and neuroglycopenic symptoms. Furthermore, hypoglycemia causes acute cardiovascular effects, which may explain some of the typical symptoms: ischemia, QT prolongation, and arrhythmia. With regards to the latter, the night represents a dangerous period because of the major increase in arrhythmias and the prolonged period of hypoglycemia; indeed, sleep has been shown to blunt the sympatho-adrenal response to hypoglycemia. Two main strategies have been implemented to reduce these effects: monitoring blood glucose values and individualized HbA1c goals. Several drugs for the treatment of T2DM are currently available and different combinations have been recommended to achieve individualized glycemic targets, considering age, comorbidities, disease duration, and life expectancy. In conclusion, according to international guidelines, hypoglycemia-avoiding therapy must reach an individualized glycemic goal, which is the lowest HbA1c not causing severe hypoglycemia and preserving awareness of hypoglycemia.

Introduction

In diabetes mellitus (DM), hypoglycemia is the most feared adverse effect of glucose-lowering drugs (GLDs) such as insulin and secretagogues (sulphonylureas (SUs) and glinides). Iatrogenic hypoglycemia (IH) involves reduced motivation and compliance with achieving good glycemic control that minimizes the risk of diabetic complications, with a consequent increase in cardiovascular (CV) and neurological morbidities. In this review, based on the material searched for and obtained via PubMed up to April 2015 (search terms: ‘hypoglycemia, diabetes, complications’ in combination with ‘iatrogenic, treatment, symptoms’), we will provide an overview of this growing problem and some advice on therapy.
Epidemiology

The incidence of hypoglycemia varies greatly between different studies, and it is hard to compare epidemiological data due to differing study designs, populations, and definitions of hypoglycemia. On the basis of the available data, the frequency of severe hypoglycemia in adults with type 1 DM (T1DM) ranges from 0.2 to 3.2 episodes per patient per year (1, 2) and from 0.1 to 0.7 in insulin-treated T2DM patients (2). In patients with impaired awareness of hypoglycemia (IAH), it is more difficult to have a precise estimation of the incidence without a consultation with patients’ relatives (3). Mild hypoglycemia is hard to identify because patients rarely have an accurate recall of the event lasting more than 1 week (4); the average incidence is 1–2 episodes per patient per week in T1DM (4, 5, 6, 7) and 0.3–0.7 episodes per patient per week in insulin-treated T2DM (6, 7). The highest rate of severe hypoglycemic episodes during insulin therapy occurs during the first trimester of pregnancy in women with T1DM (8). The frequency of hypoglycemia in T2DM patients is lower than in T1DM ones; however, the longer they are treated with insulin, the more often they may experience hypoglycemic events, concomitant with a slow, progressive decline in the functioning of β-cells (2, 9).

Definition

Classically, hypoglycemia is defined by the Whipple triad: the presence of low blood sugar; autonomic and neuroglycopenic symptoms; and reversal of the symptoms after restoration of the blood glucose level to normal (10). This classical definition was updated by international associations with different glycemic thresholds:

1) European Medicines Agency (EMA): 70 mg/dl (3.9 mmol/l) (11).
2) American Diabetes Association (ADA): 70 mg/dl (3.9 mmol/l) (12).
3) Canadian Diabetes Association (CDA): 72 mg/dl (4.0 mmol/l) (13).

The Workgroup on Hypoglycemia of the American Diabetes Association (ADA) included in the definition of hypoglycemia ‘all episodes of an abnormally low plasma glucose concentration that expose the individual to potential harm’ (10). This extended definition, not anchored to a number, takes the variability of the hypoglycemia threshold among individuals into account: symptomatic glucose blood levels tend to become progressively lower after repeated hypoglycemic episodes (14, 15, 16), while they are higher in patients with poor glycemic control and presenting with rare hypoglycemic events (17).

Moreover, standard classifications include confirmed, severe, and nocturnal hypoglycemia. Confirmed hypoglycemia is an event for which a blood glucose measurement below the defined threshold is available; a severe event requires the assistance of another person; a nocturnal event occurs during the night, regardless of whether the individual is awake or asleep, considering ‘nocturnal’ to be during the period from bedtime until waking up (10).

Pathophysiology of hypoglycemia

Glucose is the primary source of energy for many organs (i.e., the brain) that are vulnerable to low blood glucose levels. In order to protect the brain, a variety of physiological mechanisms are activated to minimize the effects of hypoglycemia (18, 19). The initial reaction is the cessation of insulin secretion at a blood glucose threshold of ~83 mg/dl (~4.6 mmol/l) (20), followed by counter-regulatory hormone responses (i.e., glucagon and epinephrine, starting at 68.4 mg/dl (3.8 mmol/l)) and cognitive and symptomatic changes (20, 21, 22). Despite initial common mechanisms, the pathophysiology of hypoglycemia differs between T1DM and T2DM patients.

T1DM

In T1DM, because of the absence of working β-cells, circulating levels of exogenous insulin cannot decrease when plasma glucose levels decline, so this first-line defense against hypoglycemia is lost (23). The second-line defense, i.e. increase of glucagon levels, is lost too, and this is believed to be a signaling defect depending on endogenous insulin deficiency (24). Therefore, T1DM patients critically depend on the third-line defense consisting in an increase in epinephrine levels, which stimulates hepatic glycogenolysis and gluconeogenesis and limits glucose utilization by insulin-sensitive tissues.

T2DM

As previously underscored, the incidence of IH is lower in T2DM patients than in T1DM patients (6, 7). These epidemiological data indicate that glucose counter-regulatory mechanisms are conserved in T2DM patients, at least in the early phases of the disease. In addition, in T2DM patients, treated not only with insulin but with SUs, the risk of hypoglycemia further increases. The net result of the hormonal response is the prolongation of hypoglycemia, as...
the limited glucose utilization delays the recovery of glucose after a hypoglycemic episode. Actually, in T2DM patients with progressive insulin deficiency, IH becomes a problem very similar to that of T1DM patients. In this subcategory of T2DM patients, glucagon response to hypoglycemia is virtually absent and the glycemic thresholds are shifted to lower plasma glucose concentrations after a recent hypoglycemic episode (25).

Clinical presentation

Hypoglycemia causes symptoms that can be classified into two main categories: neurogenic or autonomic and neuroglycopenic (21, 22). The first symptoms are caused by the physiological responses to low glucose concentrations and include trembling, sweating, anxiety, and hunger. The neuroglycopenic symptoms derive from glucose deprivation in the central nervous system and include cognitive impairment, confusion, behavioral changes, loss of consciousness, and, in severe cases, even death.

Risk factors

Besides insulin and SU, quinolones, heparin, β-blockers, and trimethoprim–sulfamethoxazole have been also reported to induce hypoglycemia (26). In particular, among glipizide and gliburide users, exposure to ciprofloxacin, clarithromycin, levofloxacin, metronidazole, and sulfamethoxazole–trimethoprim has been shown to increase hypoglycemic episodes, of which nearly 40% required hospitalization (27). Inadequate, delayed, or missed meals; exercise; hot weather; and use of recreational drugs or excessive alcohol consumption might be associated with IH. Age-related impairment of the counter-regulatory hormone responses could be also associated with IH (20, 22). Other factors influencing the risk of hypoglycemia might include marked changes in body weight and renal or liver dysfunction (28, 29, 30). Depression was also found to be associated with the risk of severe hypoglycemia (31). Recurrence of hypoglycemia may occur due to the development of autonomic failure (hypoglycemia-associated autonomic failure (HAAF)) (32). This condition can be improved after three days of normoglycemia (41). The levels of inflammatory mediators and prothrombotic changes remain elevated from 24 to 96 h to 1 week (45) after restoration of normoglycemia (41).

During hypoglycemia, arteries are more elastic so that central arterial pressure falls, even if with aging the arterial wall stiffness attenuates the normal arterial response (46). Moreover, arterial stiffness in long-standing diabetic patients accelerates the return of the reflected systolic wave generated during myocardial contraction, potentially interfering with coronary perfusion (47).

Hypoglycemia might affect cardiac repolarization (48) and leads to EKG changes, including altered ST-segment and T-wave morphology and QT interval prolongation (49). The latter is thought to be a possible mechanism leading to fatality during CV events, being precipitated by increased circulating levels of cathecolamines and hypokalemia (50, 51), even if hypokalemia does not always play an important role during severe hypoglycemia (52). However, hypoglycemia at admission was associated with increased 30-day mortality in acute myocardial infarction patients with or without T2DM (53). Insulin-treated T2DM patients with a history of either CV disease or two CV risk factors commonly show hypoglycemia during the night, associated with possible ischemic (T-wave flattening) and repolarization (increased QT intervals corrected for heart rate) alterations, and other cardiac arrhythmias (54). During nocturnal hypoglycemia there is an eightfold increase in bradycardia and a fourfold
increase in atrial ectopy when compared with daytime hypoglycemia. Sleep has been shown to blunt the sympatho-adrenal response to hypoglycemia (55), contributing to the long duration and greater severity of nocturnal hypoglycemia; the proposed mechanism is a disproportionate parasympathetic phase leading to bradycardia and ectopic pacemakers.

**Hypoglycemia and the brain**

Domains involved in attention-demanding, rapid responses, complex thought processes, and multitasking are affected (56, 57). The time period before complete cognitive recovery after a hypoglycemic episode is reached can exceed 60 min and is influenced by the awareness of hypoglycemia (58). Other neurologic manifestations range from irritability to seizures and coma. Moreover, in T1DM adolescents epileptiform activity during hypoglycemia is more frequently observed and theta waves persist after restoration of normoglycemia (59). The augmented blood flow to the frontal cortex during acute hypoglycemia in order to supply the most vulnerable part of the brain persists during normoglycemia too, leading to autoregulation disruption (60, 61). As a result, the risk of cerebral ischemia during any condition of hemodynamic stress is increased (59). Transient ischemic attacks and hemiplegia can present during hypoglycemia, especially in the elderly (62).

**Strategies to limit hypoglycemia and its complications**

The modulation of the intensiveness of glucose lowering in T2DM is essential in order to determine optimal HbA1c targets related to patient/disease features, disease duration, life expectancy, comorbidities, established vascular complications, and patient attitude (63). In the Position Statement by the ADA and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes, it is highlighted that optimal management of T2DM takes into account the different comorbidities of patients, including hypoglycemia that is considered similarly to other conditions, such as coronary artery disease, heart failure, renal and liver disease, and dementia (63). A report of a workgroup of the ADA and the Endocrine Society illustrates the principal measures required in order to minimize hypoglycemia (64). The measures recommended to limit iatrogenic hypoglycemia are summarized in Fig. 1.

The first step is to limit the hypoglycemic episodes, since this approach can completely restore hypoglycemia awareness in diabetes patients, as previously described (33). In order to follow the glycemic trend during the day, self-monitored blood glucose (SMBG) is very useful and its benefit has been reasonably established in insulin-treated patients. This strategy allows patients to identify hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia and get immediate feedback about the effect of food choices, stress, activity, and insulin dosing on glucose levels. In addition, patients can better determine, together with clinicians, if a medication adjustment is needed. SMBG can help identify recurrent hypoglycemia even in patients with acceptable HbA1c (65). Frequency and timing of SMBG depend on glycemic control and current treatment. Some potential limitations of appropriate use of SMBG might be related to lack of disease knowledge as well as logbook and economic barriers (66). Patient compliance can be improved through decreasing the sample amount, alternate site testing, and elimination of the need for coding, while patients with disabilities may benefit from preloaded strips and audio reporting. A structured SMBG program improves glycemic control and facilitates more timely and aggressive therapeutic changes (67).

Furthermore, it is fundamental to educate patients and their relatives about the treatment of DM and the management of hypoglycemia, even if the provision of basic information about it can be sometimes neglected or might not be comprehended by some patients. For that reason, it is important for clinicians to regularly reinforce these concepts (68, 69). Psychologically based educational programs are useful to improve the patients’ accuracy in detecting hypoglycemia (70). Other approaches also target dietary education and flexible insulin dosing strategies (71, 72).

Despite refinements in insulin therapy, the frequency of hypoglycemia did not change in T1DM patients (73). This aspect was possibly due to stricter glycemic targets and improvements in the identification of hypoglycemic events. In T2DM patients, the increased use of aggressive insulin therapy might be associated with an increased risk of hypoglycemia, but comparison with previous trends is not possible owing to lack of reliable historical data. Rapid-acting insulin analogues did not influence the frequency of severe hypoglycemia (74), whilst long-acting analogues seem to have some modest benefits in reducing the risk of nocturnal hypoglycemia (74). Recently, a new-generation ultra-long-acting basal insulin known as degludec was developed. This drug forms a depot of soluble multihexamers upon s.c. injection, from which insulin monomers are slowly and continuously absorbed into the blood with a flat profile and a more predictable glucose-lowering effect lasting beyond 42 h (75). In advanced T2DM patients, the use of insulin degludec resulted in long-
term improvements in glycemic control similar to those of patients treated with insulin glargine at similar doses, but with lower risks of overall (24% lower) and nocturnal confirmed hypoglycemia (31% lower) (76).

The frequency of hypoglycemia with new GLDs, such as incretins and SGLT2 inhibitors, is very low (77). Results of some trials indicated the efficacy of dipeptidyl peptidase (DPP)-4 inhibitors in improving glycemic control along with a low risk of hypoglycemia (both as a monotherapy and combined with insulin-sensitizing agents) and neutral effect on body weight (78). No increase in the risk of pancreatitis and pancreatic endocrine tumors in patients using these therapies has been demonstrated (79). Furthermore, a great body of literature has been published reporting that DPP-4 inhibitors provide a reasonable therapeutic alternative for elderly people with DM, leading to a significant improvement in glycemic control, with a low risk of hypoglycemia at least while used in monotherapy or in combination with insulin sensitizers together with a safety profile similar to placebo and a potentially better tolerability with respect to metformin (80, 81). Finally, these advantages were highlighted also in diabetic patients during Ramadan, for whom the available data indicate that DPP-4 inhibitors could be used rather than SUs for fewer hypoglycemic episodes and a greater reduction in weight and possibly HbA1c concentration (82). The increasing use of these agents will potentially help reduce the risk of hypoglycemia and its consequences, particularly among vulnerable patients, but the main barrier to their ordinary utilization is the cost.

**Future perspectives**

Continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) allows a better recognition of hypoglycemia during the night in patients with IAH and also of the wide glycemic fluctuations...
during the day (83). Given the increasing reliability and sensitivity of these devices, coupled with sensors and alarms, CGM could permit the eradication of unpredictable and incipient hypoglycemia.

Although there is no overall consensus, it is widely accepted that patients with frequent and severe hypoglycemia and/or IAH may benefit from continuous s.c. insulin infusion (CSII) (84, 85), reducing the rate of severe and moderate hypoglycemia over a 6-month period, with no change in HbA1C (86).

Intrahepatic islet transplantation has been demonstrated to restore glucose counter-regulation and improve hypoglycemia symptom recognition in long-standing T1DM patients, though the immunosuppression-related adverse effects should be considered (87). Using CGM systems, it was confirmed that transplant recipients had significantly decreased (in insulin-requiring subjects) or completely eradicated (in insulin-independent subjects) periods under hypoglycemia (88). This great reduction in the incidence of hypoglycemia was due to the marked reduction in exogenous insulin administration, the partial restoration of the glucagon counter-regulatory response, and the recovery of sympatho-adrenal response and hypoglycemia awareness (89, 90). For patients suffering from intractable, recurrent, severe hypoglycemia, whole-pancreas or islet cell transplantation should be considered as a viable therapeutic option.

Since KATP channels are involved in detection of hypoglycemia and the subsequent counter-regulatory response (91), recently the KATP channel opener diazoxide has been shown to increase the magnitude of the hormonal counter-regulatory response in long-standing T1DM patients with IAH (92).

Conclusions

Given the elevated risk of hypoglycemia among diabetic patients, preventing them from the achievement of good glycemic control, it is necessary to make a special effort to tailor therapy for each patient (63). Hypoglycemia is known to cause severe CV and neurological manifestations affecting the ordinary life and the quality of life of patients. A lower HbA1c, even delaying microvascular complications, can cause serious hypoglycemic episodes, mainly in the elderly and in people with HAAF. On the other hand, the achievement of a good HbA1c, preserving awareness of hypoglycemia, can be obtained by markedly reducing hypoglycemic episodes. Besides the precise choice of drugs, it is fundamental to advise patients to follow a balanced diet and perform regular self-monitoring of blood glucose, the latter being useful to warn patients in case of incipient hypoglycemia. Intensive glycemic control has two sides: the upside is partial prevention or delay of microvascular complications, while the downside is morbidity and potential mortality due to IAH. Therefore, a reasonable individualized glycemic goal is the lowest HbA1c that does not cause severe hypoglycemia and preserves awareness of hypoglycemia, preferably with little or no symptomatic or even asymptomatic hypoglycemia at a given stage in the evolution of the individual’s diabetes. In order to do that, new GLDs and new types of insulin will help treat DM without or with fewer hypoglycemic events, reducing this huge burden of DM therapy.
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